

Case Number:	CM15-0193387		
Date Assigned:	10/07/2015	Date of Injury:	09/19/2005
Decision Date:	11/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Montana, Oregon, Idaho
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The 40 year old male injured worker suffered an industrial injury on 9-19-2005. The diagnoses included degeneration of lumbosacral intervertebral disc and lumbosacral radiculopathy. On 9-10-2015 the treating provider reported back pain radiating down the right leg, migraines and frequent and severe headaches. The provider noted there was a controlled substance agreement and CURES report and the latest urine toxicology and fall were appropriate. The documentation provided did not include evidence of a comprehensive pain evaluation with pain levels with and without medications and no evidence of functional improvement with treatment. The Utilization Review on 9-17-2015 determined modification for Carisoprodol 250mg #30, one tablet everyday by oral route as needed to 3 month supply for weaning and Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 7.5/325mg #30, one tablet everyday by oral route as needed to 3 month supply provided for weaning.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Carisoprodol 250mg #30, one tablet everyday by oral route as needed: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Carisoprodol (Soma).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Muscle relaxants (for pain). Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) pain.

Decision rationale: Per the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 29, Carisoprodol (Soma), does not recommend Soma for long term use. It is a skeletal muscle relaxant, which has abuse potential due to its sedative and relaxant effects. It has been suggested that the main effect is due to generalized sedation and treatment of anxiety. Abuse has been noted for sedative and relaxant effects. In regular abusers the main concern is the accumulation of meprobamate. Carisoprodol abuse has also been noted in order to augment or alter effects of other drugs. This includes the following: (1) increasing sedation of benzodiazepines or alcohol; (2) use to prevent side effects of cocaine; (3) use with Tramadol to produce relaxation and euphoria; (4) as a combination with hydrocodone, an effect that some abusers claim is similar to heroin (referred to as a "Las Vegas Cocktail"); & (5) as a combination with codeine (referred to as "Soma Coma"). (Reeves, 1999) (Reeves, 2001) (Reeves, 2008) (Schears, 2004) (Owens, 2007) (Reeves, 2012) There was a 300% increase in numbers of emergency room episodes related to carisoprodol from 1994 to 2005. Hospital emergency department visits involving the misuse of carisoprodol have doubled over five years, study shows. In this case, the guidelines do not recommend long term use and the request is for a 3 month supply. In addition, carisoprodol is not recommended to be taken in combination with opioids. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 7.5/325mg #30, one tablet everyday by oral route as needed:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain, Opioids, long-term assessment. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) pain.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 80, opioids. A therapeutic trial of opioids should not be employed until the patient has failed a trial of non-opioid analgesics. Opioids may be continued if the patient has returned to work and the patient has improved functioning and pain. Guidelines recommend ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. The ODG-TWC pain section comments specifically on criteria for the use of drug screening for ongoing opioid treatment. Based upon the records reviewed there is insufficient evidence to support chronic use of narcotics. There is lack of demonstrated functional improvement, percentage of relief, or increase in activity from the exam note of 9/10/15. Therefore the determination is for non-certification. The request is not medically necessary.