

Case Number:	CM15-0193053		
Date Assigned:	10/07/2015	Date of Injury:	01/30/2009
Decision Date:	11/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/01/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	10/01/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 64 year old male, who sustained an industrial-work injury on 1-30-09. He reported initial complaints of lumbar pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having mechanical loading strategies (MLS) lumbar strain. Treatment to date has included medication and diagnostics. Currently, the injured worker complains of lumbar pain and no medication for 5 days. Medication alleviated the pain. VAS (visual analog scale) for pain level was not documented or degree of benefit from medication. Per the primary physician's progress report (PR-2) on 8-25-15, exam noted at L3-S1 there was positive increased lower back pain with radiation. Current plan of care includes random drug screen and medication. The Request for Authorization requested service to include Norco 10/325mg #120 and Robaxin 500mg #60. The Utilization Review on 9-1-15 denied the request for include Norco 10/325mg #120 and Robaxin 500mg #60, per CA MTUS (California Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule), Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines 2009.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use, Opioids, specific drug list.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use, Opioids, cancer pain vs. nonmalignant pain, Opioids, long-term assessment, Opioids, pain treatment agreement.

Decision rationale: The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. It cites opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated specific improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or change in functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing results or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. Additionally, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific increased functional status derived from the continuing use of opioids in terms of decreased pharmacological dosing with persistent severe pain for this chronic 2009 injury without acute flare, new injury, or progressive neurological deterioration. The Norco 10/325mg #120 is not medically necessary and appropriate.

Robaxin 500mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Muscle relaxants (for pain).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Muscle relaxants (for pain).

Decision rationale: Guidelines do not recommend long-term use of this muscle relaxant for this chronic 2009 injury. Additionally, the efficacy in clinical trials has been inconsistent and most studies are small and of short duration. These medications may be useful for chronic musculoskeletal pain, but there are no long-term studies of their effectiveness or safety. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication or medical need for this treatment and there is no report of significant progressive deteriorating clinical findings, acute flare-up or new injury to support for its long-term use. There is no report of functional improvement resulting from its previous treatment in terms of decreased pharmacological dosing, decreased medical utilization, increased ADLs and functional work status to support further use as the patient remains unchanged. The Robaxin 500mg #60 is not medically necessary and appropriate.