

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0187423		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/29/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/02/2000
<b>Decision Date:</b>	11/13/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	09/15/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	09/23/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 4-2-00. The injured worker has complaints of lower back pain. There was tenderness to palpation at L5-S1 (sacroiliac) and increase paraspinal spasm. The diagnoses have included sprain of lumbar. Treatment to date has included norco and flexeril. The original utilization review (9-15-15) modified the request for norco 7.5-325 #60 to norco 7.5-325mg #45 to allow for weaning. Several documents within the submitted medical records are difficult to decipher.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 7.5/325mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use, Opioids for chronic pain, Opioids, cancer pain vs. nonmalignant pain, Opioids, long-term assessment, Opioids, pain treatment agreement.

**Decision rationale:** Review indicates the request for Norco Review indicates the request for Norco was modified for weaning purposes. Pain symptoms and clinical findings remain unchanged for this chronic April 2000 injury. Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or improved functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing results or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids with persistent severe pain for this chronic injury. In addition, submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the specific indication to support for chronic opioid use without acute flare-up, new injuries, or progressive clinical deficits to support for chronic opioids outside recommendations of the guidelines. The Norco 7.5/325mg #60 is not medically necessary and appropriate.