

Case Number:	CM15-0184366		
Date Assigned:	09/24/2015	Date of Injury:	11/30/2012
Decision Date:	11/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	09/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/18/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 72 year old, male who sustained a work related injury on 11-30-12. The diagnoses have included adhesive capsulitis, impingement syndrome, lumbar strain-sprain, lumbar disc pathology and lumbar degenerative disc disease. He is being treated for right shoulder and lumbar spine pain. Treatments have included TENS unit therapy (with benefit), physical therapy (number of sessions not indicated) and home exercises. Current medications include Terocin patches since at least 2-13-15 and Tramadol since at least 5-13-14. In the progress notes dated 2-13-15 to 8-28-15, the injured worker reports continued right shoulder pain. He is having difficulty with activities such as lifting, pushing-pulling, repetitive motions, overhead or weighted activities. He reports lumbar spine pain. Pain level remains unchanged at 3 out of 10. He reports pain and spasms, which are unchanged. He has difficulty with prolonged sitting-standing, lifting, pushing, pulling, bending and heavy lifting. Upon physical exam, he has tenderness to touch at the right shoulder anterior capsule-cuff. He has right subacromial impingement. He has tenderness to touch at the right acromioclavicular joint. He has tenderness to touch and spasm in lumbar spine. Lumbar motion is guarded due to pain. He is working modified duty. The treatment plan includes refills of Tramadol and Lidocaine patches. In the Utilization Review, dated 9-8-15, the medical records do not indicate the injured worker failed a first-line oral medication trial. The requested treatment of Terocin patch 4%, use as directed, #10, 2 refills is non-certified.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Terocin patch 4%, use as directed, #10, 2 refills, prescribed 08/28/15: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/11-12/bill/asm/ab_0351-0400/ab_378_20110908_amended_sen_v94.html.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

Decision rationale: Terocin is capsaicin, lidocaine, menthol, methyl salicylate, and boswellia serrata. Per MTUS p 112 "Indications: There are positive randomized studies with capsaicin cream in patients with osteoarthritis, fibromyalgia, and chronic non-specific back pain, but it should be considered experimental in very high doses. Although topical capsaicin has moderate to poor efficacy, it may be particularly useful (alone or in conjunction with other modalities) in patients whose pain has not been controlled successfully with conventional therapy." Methyl salicylate may have an indication for chronic pain in this context. Per MTUS p105, "Recommended. Topical salicylate (e.g., Ben-Gay, methyl salicylate) is significantly better than placebo in chronic pain. (Mason-BMJ, 2004)." However, the other ingredients in Terocin are not indicated. The preponderance of evidence indicates that overall, this medication is not medically necessary. Regarding topical lidocaine, MTUS states (p112) "Neuropathic pain: Recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tricyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. There is only one trial that tested 4% lidocaine for treatment of chronic muscle pain. The results showed there was no superiority over placebo. (Scudds, 1995)." Per MTUS p25 Boswellia Serrata Resin is not recommended for chronic pain. Terocin patches contain menthol. The CA MTUS, ODG, National Guidelines Clearinghouse, and ACOEM provide no evidence-based recommendations regarding the topical application of menthol. It is the opinion of this IMR reviewer that a lack of endorsement, a lack of mention, inherently implies a lack of recommendation, or a status equivalent to "not recommended". Since menthol is not medically indicated, then the overall product is not indicated per MTUS as outlined below. Note the statement on page 111: Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. Regarding the use of multiple medications, MTUS p60 states, "Only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. Analgesic medications should show effects within 1 to 3 days, and the analgesic effect of antidepressants should occur within 1 week. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. (Mens, 2005) The recent AHRQ review of comparative effectiveness and safety of analgesics for osteoarthritis concluded that each of the analgesics was associated with a unique set of benefits and risks, and no currently available analgesic was identified as offering a clear overall advantage compared with the others." Therefore, it would be optimal to trial each medication individually. The request is not medically necessary.