MAXIMUS Federal Services

Case Number:	CM15-0183355		
Date Assigned:	09/24/2015	Date of Injury:	06/14/2010
Decision Date:	10/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/21/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on June 14, 2010. The injured worker was currently diagnosed as having chronic pain other, cervical disc degeneration, disc displacement of the cervical spine, cervical radiculitis, cervical radiculopathy and gastritis. Treatment to date has included diagnostic studies, trigger point injections with "limited benefit," medications with "limited benefit," chiropractic treatment with "limited benefit," physical therapy with "limited benefit," and left wrist carpal tunnel release on April 23, 2015. Acupuncture treatment was noted to be helpful. On August 6, 2015, the injured worker complained of left shoulder pain. She was noted to be mildly improved since a prior examination date. Parts of the handwritten progress report were illegible. The treatment plan included continuation of physical therapy, continuation of acupuncture, medications, orthopedic consultation for the left shoulder and extracorporeal shockwave therapy. On August 21, 2015, utilization review denied a request for acupuncture treatment two times a week for three weeks for the left wrist and physical therapy two times a week for three weeks for the left wrist.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture treatment 2 times a week for 3 weeks for left wrist: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment 2007.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment 2007.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on acupuncture states: 1) "Acupuncture" is used as an option when pain medication is reduced or not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery. It is the insertion and removal of filiform needles to stimulate acupoints (acupuncture points). Needles may be inserted, manipulated, and retained for a period of time. Acupuncture can be used to reduce pain, reduce inflammation, increase blood flow, increase range of motion, decrease the side effect of medication-induced nausea, promote relaxation in an anxious patient, and reduce muscle spasm. Frequency and duration of acupuncture with electrical stimulation may be performed as follows: 1. Time to produce functional improvement 3-6 treatments. 2. Frequency: 1-3 times per week. 3. Optimum duration is 1-2 months 4. Treatments may be extended if functional improvement is documented. Previous acupuncture has not produced documented objective improvements in pain and function. Therefore, continued sessions are not medically necessary.

Physical therapy 2 times a week for 3 weeks for left wrist: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Physical Medicine.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on physical medicine states: Recommended as indicated below: Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instructions. Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007) Physical Medicine Guidelines: Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine. Myalgia and myositis, unspecified (ICD9 729.1): 9-10 visits over 8 weeks. Neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis, unspecified (ICD9 729.2) 8-10 visits over 4 weeks. Reflex sympathetic dystrophy (CRPS) (ICD9 337.2): 24 visits over 16 weeks. The requested amount of physical therapy is in excess of California chronic pain medical

treatment guidelines. The patient has already completed a course of physical therapy. There is no objective explanation why the patient would need excess physical therapy and not be transitioned to active self-directed physical medicine. The request is not medically necessary.