

Case Number:	CM15-0180117		
Date Assigned:	09/21/2015	Date of Injury:	04/20/2013
Decision Date:	11/10/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/14/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	09/11/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 4-20-2011. A review of medical records indicated the injured worker is being treated for failed back fusion and evolving chronic regional pain syndrome. Medical records dated 6-20-2015 noted there was an epidural steroid injection on 4-22-2015 with relief. Pain scale was unavailable. Medical record dated 5-36-2015 noted pain a 6.5 out 10. Physical examination noted decreased sensation to bilateral thighs legs laterally. Treatment has included physical therapy and medications (Nucynta since at least 2-9-2015, Percocet, Soma, and Kristalose since at least 6-26-2015). Utilization review form dated 8-14-2015 noncertified Butrans 20mg #4, Ambien 10mg #30, Soma 350mg #112, Percocet 10-325mg #180, Nucynta ER 250mg # 60, Prevacid 30mg #30, and Kristalose packets #30 x refill.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Butrans 20mg #4: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Buprenorphine.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS in regard to medications for chronic pain, only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. According to this citation from the MTUS, medications should not be initiated in a group fashion, and specific benefit with respect to pain and function should be documented for each medication. There is no documentation of the above criteria for either of the narcotics that the patient has been taking. The patient's current total daily opioid use is above the recommended maximum dose. Butrans 20mg #4 is not medically necessary.

Ambien 10mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain (Chronic), Zolpidem (Ambien).

Decision rationale: The Official Disability Guidelines do not recommend the use of sleeping pills for long-term use. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers, and anti-anxiety agents are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. They can be habit-forming, and they may impair function and memory more than opioid pain relievers. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. The patient has been taking Ambien for longer than the 2-6 week period recommended by the ODG. Ambien 10mg #30 is not medically necessary.

Soma 350mg #112: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Carisoprodol (Soma).

Decision rationale: The MTUS states that carisoprodol is not recommended and is not indicated for long-term use. Abuse has been noted for sedative and relaxant effects. In regular abusers, the main concern is the accumulation of meprobamate. There was a 300% increase in numbers of emergency room episodes related to carisoprodol from 1994 to 2005. There is little research in terms of weaning of high dose carisoprodol and there is no standard treatment regimen for patients with known dependence. Soma 350mg #112 is not medically necessary.

Percocet 10/325mg #180: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain.

Decision rationale: The MTUS recommends Percocet for moderate to moderately severe pain. Opioids for chronic pain appears to be efficacious but limited for short-term pain relief, and long-term efficacy is unclear, but also appears limited. If the patient does not respond to a time-limited course of opioids it is suggested that an alternate therapy be considered. For the on-going management of opioids there should be documentation of pain relief, functional improvement, appropriate use and side effects. The patient's current total daily opioid use is above the recommended maximum dose. Percocet 10/325mg #180 is not medically necessary.

Nucynta ER 250mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS in regard to medications for chronic pain, only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. According to this citation from the MTUS, medications should not be initiated in a group fashion, and specific benefit with respect to pain and function should be documented for each medication. There is no documentation of the above criteria for either of the narcotics that the patient has been taking. The patient's current total daily opioid use is above the recommended maximum dose. Nucynta ER 250mg #60 is not medically necessary.

Prevacid 30mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

Decision rationale: According to the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, prior to starting the patient on a proton pump inhibitor, physicians are asked to evaluate the patient and to determine if the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events. Criteria used are: (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID. There is no documentation that the patient has any of the risk factors needed to recommend the proton pump inhibitor Prevacid. The request is not medically necessary.

Kristalose packets #30 x refill: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: The Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines makes provision for the prophylactic treatment of constipation secondary to chronic opiate use; however, the patient was previously provided with a sufficient quantity of narcotics to be weaned from opioids, which makes a laxative not medically necessary. Kristalose packets #30 x refill is not medically necessary.