MAXIMUS Federal Services

Case Number:	CM15-0167957		
Date Assigned:	09/08/2015	Date of Injury:	08/04/2010
Decision Date:	10/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/24/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on August 4, 2010. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, right shoulder and knee intra-articular injection, diagnostic imaging, and lumbar laminectomy. Currently, the injured worker complains of increased bilateral low back pain. He describes the pain as constant, throbbing pain with stiffness. The pain radiates into the pelvic girdle. He reports that the pain is aggravated with leaning forward and prolonged standing. He reports moderate numbness, tingling and weakness in the right lower extremity with mild heaviness and spasm. He has a left foot drop and unstable gait. He reports that he is now able to perform activities of daily living and he uses a seated walker for ambulation. The injured worker reports that he has mild relief with his current medication regimen. He rates his current pain level a 9 on a ten-point scale. On physical examination the injured worker is wheel-chair bound. He has tenderness to palpation and spasm of the lumbar spine bilaterally. The injured worker has positive straight leg raise and his spinal range of motion is limited due to pain. He has decreased sensation to light touch in the bilateral lower extremities. The diagnoses associated with the request include low back pain, lumbar disc displacement, lumbar radiculopathy and postlaminectomy syndrome of the lumbar spine. The treatment plan includes Percocet, OxyContin, continued therapeutic exercise and urine drug screen.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

2 urine drug screens (qualitative point of care & quantitative lab confirmation): Overturned

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 77-80, 94.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids Page(s): 76-84.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on opioids states: On-Going Management. Actions Should Include: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs. (Passik, 2000) (d) Home: To aid in pain and functioning assessment, the patient should be requested to keep a pain dairy that includes entries such as pain triggers, and incidence of end-of-dose pain. It should be emphasized that using this diary will help in tailoring the opioid dose. This should not be a requirement for pain management. (e) Use of drug screening or inpatient treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. (f) Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor-shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion). (g) Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control. (h) Consideration of a consultation with a multidisciplinary pain clinic if doses of opioids are required beyond what is usually required for the condition or pain does not improve on opioids in 3 months. Consider a psych consult if there is evidence of depression, anxiety or irritability. Consider an addiction medicine consult if there is evidence of substance misuse. The California MTUS does recommend urine drug screens as part of the criteria for ongoing use of opioids .The patient was on opioids at the time of request and therefore the request is medically necessary.