

Case Number:	CM15-0167729		
Date Assigned:	09/08/2015	Date of Injury:	07/19/1989
Decision Date:	10/22/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 59-year-old man sustained an industrial injury on 7-19-1989. The mechanism of injury is not detailed. Diagnoses include lumbar post-laminectomy syndrome, lumbago, neuralgia neuritis and radiculitis, and thoracic or lumbosacral neuritis or radiculitis. Treatment has included oral and topical medications. Physician notes dated 7-28-2015 show complaints of low back pain. The worker states that he went to the emergency department approximately two weeks ago due to increased low back pain and headaches. They found fluid around the brain per CT scan and drained this fluid. The physical examination is described only as unchanged. Recommendations include Gabapentin, Oxycodone, Oxycontin, and follow up in four weeks.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Gabapentin 3300mg #120 times 3 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Antiepilepsy drugs (AEDs).

Decision rationale: The MTUS states that gabapentin is an anti-epilepsy drug which has been shown to be effective for treatment of diabetic painful neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia and has been considered as a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain. An adequate trial period for gabapentin is three to eight weeks for titration, then one to two weeks at maximum tolerated dosage. With each office visit, the patient should be asked if there has been a change in the patient's pain symptoms, with the recommended change being at least 30%. There is no documentation of any functional improvement. Gabapentin 300mg #120 times 3 refills is not medically necessary.

Oxycodone HCL 30mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain.

Decision rationale: According to the MTUS about medications for chronic pain, only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. According to this citation from the MTUS, medications should not be initiated in a group fashion, and specific benefit with respect to pain and function should be documented for each medication. There is no documentation of the above criteria for either of the narcotics that the patient has been taking. Oxycodone HCL 30mg #30 is not medically necessary.

Oxycontin 40mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids for chronic pain.

Decision rationale: The Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that continued or long-term use of opioids should be based on documented pain relief and functional improvement or improved quality of life. The examination findings provided no objective or quantitative measure of pain to determine severity or improvement of function. At present, based on the records provided, and the evidence-based guideline review, the request is non-certified. Oxycontin 40mg #90 is not medically necessary.

Gabapentin 600mg #90 times 3 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Antiepilepsy drugs (AEDs).

Decision rationale: The MTUS states that gabapentin is an anti-epilepsy drug, which has been shown to be effective for treatment of diabetic painful neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia and has been considered as a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain. An adequate trial period for gabapentin is three to eight weeks for titration, then one to two weeks at maximum tolerated dosage. With each office visit, the patient should be asked if there has been a change in the patient's pain symptoms, with the recommended change being at least 30%. There is no documentation of any functional improvement. Gabapentin 600mg #90 times 3 refills is not medically necessary.