

Case Number:	CM15-0167586		
Date Assigned:	09/08/2015	Date of Injury:	06/08/2013
Decision Date:	10/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/04/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/25/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 6-8-2013. He reported low back pain with lifting activity. The diagnoses included adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depressed mood, chronic postoperative pain secondary to lumbar surgery, post laminectomy syndrome, and radiculopathy. Treatment to date has included medication therapy and cognitive behavioral therapy-supportive therapy, activity modification, physical therapy, medication therapy, trigger point injections, and epidural steroid injections. Currently, he complains of abrupt shift in moods with a decrease in Ativan as needed. He reported a decreased in anxiety, temper, depression and irritability syndromes. On 7-16-15, the physical examination documented a less tense and dysphoric mood. There was a moderate degree of tearing in the session and an increase in the depression noted. The plan of care included a prescription for Ambien 10mg, one tablet before bed #30.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ambien 10mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, FDA.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) insomnia.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS and the ACOEM do not specifically address this medication. Per the official disability guidelines recommend pharmacological agents for insomnia only is used after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. Primary insomnia is usually addressed pharmacologically. Secondary insomnia may be treated with pharmacological and/or psychological measures. Pharmacological treatment consists of four main categories: Benzodiazepines, Non-benzodiazepines, Melatonin and melatonin receptor agonists and over the counter medications. Sedating antidepressants have also been used to treat insomnia however there is less evidence to support their use for insomnia, but they may be an option in patients with coexisting depression. The patient does not have the diagnosis of primary insomnia or depression. There is no provided clinical documentation of failure of sleep hygiene measures /counseling. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.