

Case Number:	CM15-0167521		
Date Assigned:	09/08/2015	Date of Injury:	03/12/2005
Decision Date:	10/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/21/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/25/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 45 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on March 12, 2005. The injured worker was diagnosed as having displacement of lumbar intervertebral disc and fracture of thoracic spine. Treatment to date has included medication and physical therapy. A progress note dated August 7, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of worsening low back pain radiating down the right leg with numbness and tingling and improved mid back pain. She rates the pain 8 out of 10 with 4 out of 10 the best and 10 out of 10 the worst. She reports the average pain 6 out of 10 for the last week. Physical exam notes mild distress and an antalgic gait. There is lumbar decreased range of motion (ROM) with tenderness to palpation. There is severe pain on palpation of the thoracic area. The plan includes medication and therapy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Diazepam 10mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on benzodiazepines states: Benzodiazepines "Not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. (Baillargeon, 2003) (Ashton, 2005)." The chronic long-term use of this class of medication is recommended in very few conditions per the California MTUS. There is no evidence however of all failure of first line agent for the treatment of anxiety or Insomnia in the provided documentation. For this reason the request is not medically necessary.