

Case Number:	CM15-0167082		
Date Assigned:	09/04/2015	Date of Injury:	03/28/2013
Decision Date:	10/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/25/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Hospice & Palliative Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 03-28-13. Initial complaints include left lower extremity injury. Initial diagnoses are not available. Treatments to date include medications and multiple reconstructive procedures to the left lower extremity. Diagnostic studies are not addressed. Current complaints include chronic right shoulder pain. Current diagnoses include neck and right shoulder joint pain. In a progress note dated 08-10-15 the treating provider reports the plan of care as medications including tramadol, orphenadrine-norflex, and aspirin. The requested treatment includes tramadol. The documentation supports that the injured worker has been on tramadol since at least 02-11-15.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Tramadol HCL 150mg ER daily #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids (Classification), Opioids, California Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) [DWC], Opioids, criteria for use, Opioids for chronic

pain, Opioids for neuropathic pain, Opioids for osteoarthritis, Opioids, cancer pain vs. nonmalignant pain, Opioids, dealing with misuse & addiction, Opioids, differentiation: dependence & addiction, Opioids, dosing, Opioids, indicators for addiction, Opioids, long-term assessment.

Decision rationale: Tramadol-ER is a long-acting medication in the opioid class. The MTUS Guidelines stress the lowest possible dose of opioid medications should be prescribed to improve pain and function, and monitoring of outcomes over time should affect treatment decisions. Documentation of pain assessments should include the current pain intensity, the lowest intensity of pain since the last assessment, the average pain intensity, pain intensity after taking the opioid medication, the amount of time it takes to achieve pain relief after taking the opioid medication, the length of time the pain relief lasts, use and of drug screening with issues of abuse or addiction. Acceptable results include improved function, decreased pain, and/or improved quality of life. The MTUS Guidelines recommend opioids be continued when the worker has returned to work and if the worker has improved function and pain control. When these criteria are not met, an individualized taper is recommended. The submitted and reviewed records indicated the worker was experiencing problems sleeping and pain in the right neck, shoulder, lower back pain, hand, and fingers with right arm popping and numbness. The recorded pain assessments contained few of the elements suggested by the Guidelines. There was no discussion detailing how this medication improved the worker's function, describing how often the medication was needed and used by the worker, exploring the potential negative side effects, or providing an individualized risk assessment. In the absence of such evidence, the current request for 30 tablets of tramadol-ER 150mg is not medically necessary. While the Guidelines support the use of an individualized taper to avoid withdrawal effects, the risks of continued use significantly outweigh the benefits in this setting based on the submitted documentation, and a wean should be able to be completed with the medication available to the worker.