

Case Number:	CM15-0166704		
Date Assigned:	09/11/2015	Date of Injury:	02/18/2015
Decision Date:	10/13/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/28/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/25/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 2-18-15. She had complaints of left elbow and left forearm pain. Treatments include: medication and physical therapy. Progress report dated 7-8-15 reports complaints of continued left upper extremity pain. Diagnoses: left carpal tunnel syndrome with left cubital tunnel syndrome. Plan of care includes: continue wearing brace, request carpal tunnel release and cubital tunnel release, prescription provided for extension brace. Work status: remain on regular duty.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Left Carpal Tunnel Release and Cubital Tunnel Release: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Elbow Complaints 2007, and Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation (ODG).

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM Elbow Complaints Chapter 10 (2007 supplement) page 18 states that focused NCS/EMG with inching technique is required for the accurate diagnosis of cubital tunnel syndrome. As there is no evidence of cubital tunnel syndrome on the EMG the request is not medically necessary. CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of surgery for cubital tunnel syndrome. According to the ODG, Elbow section, Surgery for cubital tunnel syndrome, indications include exercise, activity modification, medications and elbow pad and or night splint for a 3-month trial period. Simple decompression is recommended unless instability is documented. In this case there is no clear evidence of a comprehensive non-surgical treatment which has failed. The request is not medically necessary.

Post-Operative Physical Therapy Two (2) Times a Week for Four (4) Weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative Medical Consultation: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative EKG: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative Chest X-Ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative Blood Work:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative Chem Panel:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative Lipid Panel:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

occur.

Pre-Operative CBC: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative UA: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

**Pre-Operative PT, PTT:
Upheld**

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

**Pre-Operative HIV:
Upheld**

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the

associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery

is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative Syphilis:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.

Pre-Operative Hepatitis ABC:
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate. This review presumes that a surgery is planned and will proceed. There is no medical necessity for this request if the surgery does not occur.