

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0166651		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/04/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/11/1995
<b>Decision Date:</b>	10/09/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	08/19/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	08/25/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: California, District of Columbia, Maryland  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 6-11-1995. The mechanism of injury is unknown. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervical facet arthropathy, cervical degenerative disc disease, lumbar degenerative disc disease, cervicgia and cervical disc displacement. There is no record of a recent diagnostic study. Treatment to date has included lumbar and cervical fusion, physical therapy and medication management. In a progress note dated 7-9-2015, the injured worker complains of neck and back pain. Physical examination showed cervical and lumbar paraspinal tenderness and sciatic notch and sacroiliac tenderness. The treating physician is requesting Celecoxib 200mg quantity 60 and Lidocaine Hydrochloride 3% quantity 85.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Celecoxib 200mg quantity 60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs).

**Decision rationale:** Per MTUS CPMTG p70, Celebrex is used for the relief of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis. It works as an anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic. It does not have an anti-platelet effect and is not a substitute for aspirin for cardiac prophylaxis. The documentation submitted for review contains no evidence that the injured worker was refractory to treatment with ibuprofen or naproxen. The MTUS supports the use of Cox-2 inhibitors for individuals with an increased risk or history of GI complications. The documentation did not note any history of GI complications, or risk factors for GI complications. While it is noted that NSAIDs are clinically indicated for this claimant, the requested Celebrex is not supported by the guidelines. This request is not medically necessary.

**Lidocaine Hydrochloride 3% quantity 85:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Topical Analgesics.

**Decision rationale:** The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines p112 states Lidocaine Indication: Neuropathic pain Recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Topical lidocaine, in the formulation of a dermal patch (Lidoderm) has been designated for orphan status by the FDA for neuropathic pain. Lidoderm is also used off-label for diabetic neuropathy. No other commercially approved topical formulations of lidocaine (whether creams, lotions or gels) are indicated for neuropathic pain. The medical records submitted for review do not indicate that the injured worker suffers from localized peripheral neuropathic pain. The request is not medically necessary.