

Case Number:	CM15-0165459		
Date Assigned:	09/03/2015	Date of Injury:	08/22/2014
Decision Date:	10/21/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 47 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 8-22-2014. She was walking backwards carrying a big tray with her coworker when she tripped on a box and fell back on the tile floor landing on her head, neck, back, and right shoulder. She has reported injury to the head, cervical spine, thoracic spine, and lumbar spine and has been diagnosed with cervical myospasm, cervical radiculopathy, cervical sprain strain, rule out thoracic disc protrusion, thoracic myospasm, and thoracic sprain strain. Treatment has included medications, massage, and acupuncture. There was decreased range of motion to the lumbar spine. The treatment plan included medications and a urine screen. The treatment request included an EMG-NCV of bilateral upper extremities.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

EMG right upper extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Neck and Upper Back Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Neck and Upper Back Complaints 2004, Section(s): Special Studies.

Decision rationale: Recommended (needle, not surface) as an option in selected cases. EMG findings may not be predictive of surgical outcome in cervical surgery, and patients may still benefit from surgery even in the absence of EMG findings of nerve root impingement. While cervical electrodiagnostic studies are not necessary to demonstrate a cervical radiculopathy, they have been suggested to confirm a brachial plexus abnormality or some problem other than a cervical radiculopathy, but these studies can result in unnecessary over treatment. EMG right upper extremity is not medically necessary.

NCV right upper extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Neck and Upper Back Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Neck and Upper Back (Acute & Chronic), Nerve conduction studies (NCS).

Decision rationale: The Official Disability Guidelines do not recommended repeat electrodiagnostic studies to demonstrate radiculopathy if radiculopathy has already been clearly identified by EMG and obvious clinical signs, but recommended if the EMG is not clearly radiculopathy or clearly negative, or to differentiate radiculopathy from other neuropathies or non-neuropathic processes if other diagnoses may be likely based on the clinical exam. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is already presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. NCV right upper extremity is not medically necessary.

NCV left upper extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Neck and Upper Back Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Neck and Upper Back (Acute & Chronic), Nerve conduction studies (NCS).

Decision rationale: The Official Disability Guidelines do not recommended repeat electrodiagnostic studies to demonstrate radiculopathy if radiculopathy has already been clearly identified by EMG and obvious clinical signs, but recommended if the EMG is not clearly radiculopathy or clearly negative, or to differentiate radiculopathy from other neuropathies or non-neuropathic processes if other diagnoses may be likely based on the clinical exam. There is minimal justification for performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is already presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. NCV left upper extremity is not medically necessary.

EMG left upper extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Neck and Upper Back Complaints 2004.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Neck and Upper Back Complaints 2004, Section(s): Special Studies.

Decision rationale: Recommended (needle, not surface) as an option in selected cases. EMG findings may not be predictive of surgical outcome in cervical surgery, and patients may still benefit from surgery even in the absence of EMG findings of nerve root impingement. While cervical electrodiagnostic studies are not necessary to demonstrate a cervical radiculopathy, they have been suggested to confirm a brachial plexus abnormality or some problem other than a cervical radiculopathy, but these studies can result in unnecessary over treatment. EMG left upper extremity is not medically necessary.