

Case Number:	CM15-0164981		
Date Assigned:	09/02/2015	Date of Injury:	08/12/2014
Decision Date:	10/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/21/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/21/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 8-12-2014. Diagnoses have included status post open reduction internal fixation of the left elbow, improving olecranon infectious bursitis and improving post-operative wound infection. Treatment to date has included surgery and medication. According to the progress report dated 2-19-2015, the injured worker complained of left elbow pain. She rated her pain at rest and with activity as seven out of ten. She rated her pain as six to seven out of ten with medication. She stated that she was very sensitive and could feel the hardware. She also complained of numbness, tingling and weakness in her fingers and hand. Current medications included Percocet and Neurontin. Exam of the left elbow revealed some mild fullness. Strength was four out of five throughout. Authorization was requested for Lunesta.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lunesta 2mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Mental Illness & Stress, Eszopiclone (Lunesta).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) insomnia.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS and the ACOEM do not specifically address this medication. Per the official disability guidelines recommend pharmacological agents for insomnia only is used after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. Primary insomnia is usually addressed pharmacologically. Secondary insomnia may be treated with pharmacological and/or psychological measures. Pharmacological treatment consists of four main categories: Benzodiazepines, Non-benzodiazepines, Melatonin and melatonin receptor agonists and over the counter medications. Sedating antidepressants have also been used to treat insomnia; however there is less evidence to support their use for insomnia, but they may be an option in patients with coexisting depression. The patient does not have the diagnosis of primary insomnia or depression. There is no provided clinical documentation of failure of sleep hygiene measures/counseling. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.