

Case Number:	CM15-0164851		
Date Assigned:	09/02/2015	Date of Injury:	06/24/2007
Decision Date:	10/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/21/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 43 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 06-24-07. Initial complaints and diagnoses are not available. Treatments to date include medications and physical therapy. Diagnostic studies include x-rays and MRIs. Current complaints include pain in the right shoulder. Current diagnoses include shoulder pain and disorder of the bursa of the right shoulder region. In a progress note dated 06-22-15 the treating provider reports the plan of care as right shoulder surgery. The requested treatments include right shoulder arthroscopic surgery and debridement, with postoperative physical therapy, Norco, and an x-ray of the right shoulder.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Right shoulder arthroscopy debridement: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Shoulder Complaints 2004. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Shoulder (Acute and Chronic), Surgery for impingement syndrome.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) shoulder.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS/ACOEM Shoulder Chapter, page 209-210, surgical considerations for the shoulder include failure of four months of activity modification and existence of a surgical lesion. The ODG shoulder section, acromioplasty surgery recommends 3-6 months of conservative care plus a painful arc of motion from 90-130 degrees. In addition night pain and weak or absent abduction must be present. There must be tenderness over the rotator cuff or anterior acromial area and positive impingement signs with temporary relief from anesthetic injection. In this case, there has been no trial of supervised physical therapy. The guideline criteria are not met and the request is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: 12 sessions of post-op physical therapy: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

Norco 10/325mg #40: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Shoulder Complaints 2004, and Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, dosing.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 80, opioids should be continued if the patient has returned to work and the patient has improved functioning and pain. Based upon the records reviewed there is insufficient evidence to support chronic use of narcotics. In this case, there is lack of demonstrated functional improvement, percentage of relief, demonstration of urine toxicology compliance or increase in activity due to medications. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: 1 X-ray of the shoulder: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.