

Case Number:	CM15-0164673		
Date Assigned:	09/02/2015	Date of Injury:	07/13/2011
Decision Date:	10/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/10/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/21/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 7-13-2011. She reported being assaulted by an inmate. Diagnoses have included lumbago, posttraumatic stress disorder and sciatica. Treatment to date has included psychotherapy and medication. According to the progress report dated 7-31-2015, the injured worker complained of increased right lumbosacral pain. The report was hand written and difficult to decipher. Objective findings revealed positive straight leg raise. Authorization was requested for Norco.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 94-95.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Ongoing management Page(s): 78-80.

Decision rationale: Norco 10/325mg #90 is not medically necessary per the MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines. The MTUS states that a satisfactory response to treatment

may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. The MTUS does not support ongoing opioid use without improvement in function or pain. The documentation reveals that the patient has been on opioids without significant evidence of increased function therefore the request for continued Norco is not medically necessary.