

Case Number:	CM15-0164095		
Date Assigned:	09/01/2015	Date of Injury:	07/29/1998
Decision Date:	10/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/21/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Texas, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 42 year old male patient, who sustained an industrial injury on July 29, 1998. The diagnoses include right ankle instability with a possible loose body. Per the progress note dated July 09, 2015 he had complaints of pain to the bilateral ankles and the left knee. The physical examination revealed moderate distress and tenderness to the bilateral ankles. The patient was prescribed Norco. The medications list includes Norco, diclofenac, pantoprazole and cyclo-benzaprine. He has undergone left ankle arthroscopy on 4/22/2014. He has had X-rays of the bilateral feet and ankles which revealed no progression of degenerative changes. Other therapy done for this injury was not specified in the records provided. The treating physician requested Norco 10-325mg with a quantity of 50, but the documentation did not indicate the specific reason for the requested medication.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325 mg #50: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines criteria for use of opioids, Page 75-81.

Decision rationale: Norco contains hydrocodone and acetaminophen. Hydrocodone is an opioid analgesic. According to the cited guidelines, "A therapeutic trial of opioids should not be employed until the patient has failed a trial of non-opioid analgesics. Before initiating therapy, the patient should set goals, and the continued use of opioids should be contingent on meeting these goals". The records provided do not specify that that patient has set goals regarding the use of opioid analgesic. The treatment failure with non-opioid analgesics is not specified in the records provided. Other criteria for ongoing management of opioids are: "The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function; Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control. Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects...Consider the use of a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs." The records provided do not provide a documentation of response in regards to pain control and objective functional improvement to opioid analgesic for this patient. The continued review of the overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control is not documented in the records provided. As recommended by the cited guidelines a documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects should be maintained for ongoing management of opioid analgesic, these are not specified in the records provided. Response to lower potency opioid for chronic pain is not specified in the records provided. A recent urine drug screen report is not specified in the records provided. Per the cited guidelines, "Measures of pain assessment that allow for evaluation of the efficacy of opioids and whether their use should be maintained include the following: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. (Nicholas, 2006) (Ballantyne, 2006) A recent epidemiologic study found that opioid treatment for chronic non-malignant pain did not seem to fulfill any of key outcome goals including pain relief, improved quality of life, and/or improved functional capacity. (Eriksen, 2006)" This patient does not meet criteria for ongoing continued use of opioids analgesic. The medical necessity of Norco 10/325 mg #50 is not established for this patient, based on the clinical information submitted for this review and the peer reviewed guidelines referenced. If this medication is discontinued, the medication should be tapered, according to the discretion of the treating provider, to prevent withdrawal symptoms and therefore is not medically necessary.