

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0164076		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	09/01/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/17/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	10/05/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	07/28/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	08/21/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 58-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 1-17-2014. The mechanism of injury is unknown. The injured worker was diagnosed as having left hand surgery. There is no record of a recent diagnostic study. Treatment to date has included physical therapy and medication management. In a progress note dated 7-8-2015, the injured worker complains of intermittent left hand pain. Physical examination showed left hand tenderness. The treating physician is requesting left hand magnetic resonance imaging.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**MRI of the Left Hand:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 268-272. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Forearm, Wrist, & Hand (Acute & Chronic), MRI's (magnetic resonance imaging).

**Decision rationale:** ACOEM states, "For most patients presenting with true hand and wrist problems, special studies are not needed until after a four- to six-week period of conservative care and observation. Most patients improve quickly, provided red flag conditions are ruled out." Exceptions include the following: "In cases of wrist injury, with snuff box (radial-dorsal wrist) tenderness, but minimal other findings, a scaphoid fracture may be present. Initial radiographic films may be obtained but may be negative in the presence of scaphoid fracture. A bone scan may diagnose a suspected scaphoid fracture with a very high degree of sensitivity, even if obtained within 48 to 72 hours following the injury." ODG states "Recommended as indicated below. While criteria for which patients may benefit from the addition of MRI have not been established, in selected cases where there is a high clinical suspicion of a fracture despite normal radiographs, MRI may prove useful. (ACR, 2001) (Schmitt, 2003) (Valeri, 1999) (Duer, 2007) Magnetic resonance imaging has been advocated for patients with chronic wrist pain because it enables clinicians to perform a global examination of the osseous and soft tissue structures. It may be diagnostic in patients with triangular fibrocartilage (TFC) and intraosseous ligament tears, occult fractures, avascular neurosis, and miscellaneous other abnormalities. Many articles dispute the value of imaging in the diagnosis of ligamentous tears, because arthroscopy may be more accurate and treatment can be performed along with the diagnosis. (Dalinka, 2000) (Tehranzadeh, 2006) For inflammatory arthritis, high-resolution in-office MRI with an average follow-up of 8 months detects changes in bony disease better than radiography, which is insensitive for detecting changes in bone erosions for this patient population in this time frame. (Chen, 2006) See also Radiography. Indications for imaging--Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): Acute hand or wrist trauma, suspect acute distal radius fracture, radiographs normal, next procedure if immediate confirmation or exclusion of fracture is required, Acute hand or wrist trauma, suspect acute scaphoid fracture, radiographs normal, next procedure if immediate confirmation or exclusion of fracture is required, Acute hand or wrist trauma, suspect gamekeeper injury (thumb MCP ulnar collateral ligament injury), Chronic wrist pain, plain films normal, suspect soft tissue tumor, Chronic wrist pain, plain film normal or equivocal, suspect Kienbck's disease, Repeat MRI is not routinely recommended, and should be reserved for a significant change in symptoms and/or findings suggestive of significant pathology. (Mays, 2008)" The treating physician has provided no evidence of red flag diagnosis and has not met the above ODG and ACOEM criteria for an MRI Of the hand. As such, the request for MRI of the Left Hand is not medically necessary.