

Case Number:	CM15-0162944		
Date Assigned:	09/08/2015	Date of Injury:	11/18/2013
Decision Date:	10/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 66-year-old female with an industrial injury dated 11-18-2013. A specific diagnosis is not present in the submitted records. Prior documented treatment included medications. She presents on 06-10-2015 for follow up and wanted to discuss moving forward with surgery. She rates the pain reaching a level of 8 out of 10. The pain is rated as 2-3 out of 10 constantly and worse at night. She used topical medicines and oral anti-inflammatories. Physical exam of the right knee showed range of motion 3 degrees to 120 degrees with pain. She had notable medial joint line tenderness, minimal anterolateral and patellar tendon. Lachman and posterior drawer signs were negative. There was pain with flexion and medial pain with rotation. The provider documents she walked with a limp and had limitations in endurance and distance she could walk. X-ray (4 views of the bilateral knees) dated 03-04-2015 showed moderate degenerative changes of the right knee and mild degenerative changes of the left knee with bilateral trace effusions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Right total knee arthroplasty: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Knee and Leg.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Knee.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS/ACOEM Guidelines are silent on the issue of total knee replacement. According to the Official Disability Guidelines, the criteria for knee joint replacement that includes conservative care with subjective findings including limited range of motion less than 90 degrees. In addition, the patient should have a BMI of less than 35 and be older than 50 years of age. There must also be findings on standing radiographs of significant loss of chondral clear space. In this case, flexion exceeds 90 degrees; the radiographs show only moderate degenerative changes and injection management is not documented as trialed. The request is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Inpatient stay (2-3 days): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op medical clearance: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op Complete Blood Count (CBC): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op Complete Metabolic Panel (CMP): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op PT/PTT/INR: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op Chest x-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op Electrocardiogram: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Physical therapy for the right knee (8-sessions, 2 times a week for 4-weeks): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.