

Case Number:	CM15-0162646		
Date Assigned:	08/28/2015	Date of Injury:	07/11/2014
Decision Date:	10/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/05/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/19/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California, Texas, Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management, Hospice & Palliative Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a male, who sustained an industrial injury on 7-11-2014. He reported a re-flare of a left wrist injury. The injured worker was diagnosed as having de Quervain's syndrome and suspect carpal tunnel versus cubital tunnel syndrome. Treatment to date has included diagnostics, steroid injections, unspecified therapy, bracing, and medications. Currently (6-19-2015), the injured worker complains of continued pain over the radial aspect of his wrist and abnormal sensations in the fingertips, described as numbness and tingling. Pain was not rated. Current medication regimen was not described. Work status was not documented. The treatment plan included topical compound analgesics (dispensed).

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Compound topical analgesics - Flurbiprofen/Lidocaine/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics Page(s): 111.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 111-113 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Compound topical analgesics-Flurbiprofen/Lidocaine/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15), CA MTUS states that topical compound medications require guideline support for all components of the compound in order for the compound to be approved. Topical NSAIDs are indicated for "Osteoarthritis and tendonitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment: Recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of osteoarthritis of the spine, hip or shoulder. Neuropathic pain: Not recommended as there is no evidence to support use." Topical lidocaine is "Recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri- cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica)." Additionally, it is supported only as a dermal patch. Within the documentation available for review, none of the abovementioned criteria have been documented. Furthermore, there is no clear rationale for the use of topical medications rather than the FDA-approved oral forms for this patient, despite guideline recommendations. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Compound topical analgesics -Flurbiprofen/Lidocaine/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15) is not medically necessary.

Compound topical analgesics - Gabapentin/Amitriptyline/Capsaicin/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics Page(s): 111.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 111-113 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Compound topical analgesics- Gabapentin/Amitriptyline/Capsaicin/ Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15), CA MTUS states that topical compound medications require guideline support for all components of the compound in order for the compound to be approved. Capsaicin is "Recommended only as an option in patients who have not responded or are intolerant to other treatments." Regarding topical gabapentin, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that topical anti-epileptic medications are not recommended. They go on to state that there is no peer-reviewed literature to support their use. Guidelines do not support the use of topical antidepressants. Within the documentation available for review, none of the abovementioned criteria have been documented. Furthermore, there is no clear rationale for the use of topical medications rather than the FDA- approved oral forms for this patient, despite guideline recommendations. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Compound topical analgesics - Gabapentin/Amitriptyline/Capsaicin/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15) is not medically necessary.

Compound topical analgesics - Cyclobenzaprine/Lidocaine/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics Page(s): 111.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 111-113 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Compound topical analgesics-Cyclobenzaprine/Lidocaine/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15), CA MTUS states that topical compound medications require guideline support for all components of the compound in order for the compound to be approved. Topical lidocaine is "Recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI anti-depressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica)." Additionally, it is supported only as a dermal patch. Muscle relaxants drugs are not supported by the CA MTUS for topical use. Within the documentation available for review, none of the abovementioned criteria have been documented. Furthermore, there is no clear rationale for the use of topical medications rather than the FDA-approved oral forms for this patient, despite guideline recommendations. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Compound topical analgesics-Cyclobenzaprine/Lidocaine/Versapro base (dispensed on 6/19/15) is not medically necessary.