

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0162150		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	08/28/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	05/06/2015
<b>Decision Date:</b>	09/30/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	08/13/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	08/18/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 38 year old male who sustained an industrial lifting and loading injury on 05-06-2015. Initial X-rays were negative for acute pathology. The injured worker was diagnosed with right lateral elbow epicondylitis and carpal sprain and strain of the right wrist. No surgical interventions were documented. Treatment to date has included diagnostic testing with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) report dated June 30, 2015, conservative measures, acupuncture therapy and medications. According to the primary treating physician's progress report on July 30, 2015, the injured worker continues to experience right elbow, arm and wrist pain. Examination of the elbow demonstrated 2 plus spasm and tenderness to the right lateral epicondyle and right olecranon. Valgus, Cozen's and reverse Cozen's were positive on the right. The wrists and hands revealed 2 spasm and tenderness to the right anterior wrist and right posterior extensor tendons with positive 1 bracelet test and negative Finklestein's test on the right. Current medication prescribed was Tylenol #3. Treatment plan consists of the current request for work conditioning-hardening screening, work hardening-conditioning for 10 visits for the right wrist and elbow with additional therapeutic procedures in support of work hardening program and psychosocial factors screen.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Work hardening/conditioning for 10 visits for the right wrist and elbow with additional therapeutic procedures in support of Work hardening program: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 125.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines work hardening Page(s): 125-126.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on work hardening states: Recommended as an option, depending on the availability of quality programs. Criteria for admission to a Work Hardening Program: (1) Work related musculoskeletal condition with functional limitations precluding ability to safely achieve current job demands, which are in the medium or higher demand level (i.e., not clerical/sedentary work). An FCE may be required showing consistent results with maximal effort, demonstrating capacities below an employer verified physical demands analysis (PDA). (2) After treatment with an adequate trial of physical or occupational therapy with improvement followed by plateau, but not likely to benefit from continued physical or occupational therapy, or general conditioning. (3) Not a candidate where surgery or other treatments would clearly be warranted to improve function. (4) Physical and medical recovery sufficient to allow for progressive reactivation and participation for a minimum of 4 hours a day for three to five days a week. (5) A defined return to work goal agreed to by the employer & employee: (a) A documented specific job to return to with job demands that exceed abilities, OR (b) Documented on-the-job training; (6) The worker must be able to benefit from the program (functional and psychological limitations that are likely to improve with the program). Approval of these programs should require a screening process that includes file review, interview and testing to determine likelihood of success in the program. (7) The worker must be no more than 2 years past date of injury. Workers that have not returned to work by two years post injury may not benefit. (8) Program timelines: Work Hardening Programs should be completed in 4 weeks consecutively or less. (9) Treatment is not supported for longer than 1-2 weeks without evidence of patient compliance and demonstrated significant gains as documented by subjective and objective gains and measurable improvement in functional abilities. (10) Upon completion of a rehabilitation program (e.g. work hardening, work conditioning, outpatient medical rehabilitation) neither re-enrollment in nor repetition of the same or similar rehabilitation program is medically warranted for the same condition or injury. Per the guidelines above, this type of program is not recommended for more than 1-2 weeks without evidence of significant gains. The patient must also have reached a plateau or maximum medical improvement with other treatment options, The patient does not meet this criterion and therefore the request is not medically necessary.

**Psychosocial factors screen:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 100.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines work hardening Page(s): 125-126.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on work hardening states: Recommended as an option, depending on the availability of quality programs. Criteria for admission to a Work Hardening Program: (1) Work related musculoskeletal condition with functional limitations precluding ability to safely achieve current job demands, which are in the medium or higher demand level (i.e., not clerical/sedentary work). An FCE may be required showing consistent results with maximal effort, demonstrating capacities below an employer verified physical demands analysis (PDA). (2) After treatment with an adequate trial of physical or occupational therapy with improvement followed by plateau, but not likely to benefit from continued physical or occupational therapy, or general conditioning. (3) Not a candidate where surgery or other treatments would clearly be warranted to improve function. (4) Physical and medical recovery sufficient to allow for progressive reactivation and participation for a minimum of 4 hours a day for three to five days a week. (5) A defined return to work goal agreed to by the employer & employee: (a) A documented specific job to return to with job demands that exceed abilities, OR (b) Documented on-the-job training; (6) The worker must be able to benefit from the program (functional and psychological limitations that are likely to improve with the program). Approval of these programs should require a screening process that includes file review, interview and testing to determine likelihood of success in the program. (7) The worker must be no more than 2 years past date of injury. Workers that have not returned to work by two years post injury may not benefit. (8) Program timelines: Work Hardening Programs should be completed in 4 weeks consecutively or less. (9) Treatment is not supported for longer than 1-2 weeks without evidence of patient compliance and demonstrated significant gains as documented by subjective and objective gains and measurable improvement in functional abilities. (10) Upon completion of a rehabilitation program (e.g. work hardening, work conditioning, outpatient medical rehabilitation) neither re-enrollment in nor repetition of the same or similar rehabilitation program is medically warranted for the same condition or injury. Per the guidelines above, this type of program is not recommended for more than 1-2 weeks without evidence of significant gains. The patient must also have reached a plateau or maximum medical improvement with other treatment options, The patient does not meet this criterion and therefore the request is not medically necessary.

**Work conditioning/ hardening screening:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 125.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines work hardening Page(s): 125-126.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on work hardening states: Recommended as an option, depending on the availability of quality programs. Criteria for admission to a Work Hardening Program: (1) Work related musculoskeletal condition with functional limitations precluding ability to safely achieve current job demands, which are in the medium or higher demand level (i.e., not clerical/sedentary work). An FCE may be required showing consistent results with maximal effort, demonstrating capacities below an employer verified physical demands analysis (PDA). (2) After treatment with an adequate trial of

physical or occupational therapy with improvement followed by plateau, but not likely to benefit from continued physical or occupational therapy, or general conditioning. (3) Not a candidate where surgery or other treatments would clearly be warranted to improve function. (4) Physical and medical recovery sufficient to allow for progressive reactivation and participation for a minimum of 4 hours a day for three to five days a week. (5) A defined return to work goal agreed to by the employer & employee: (a) A documented specific job to return to with job demands that exceed abilities, OR (b) Documented on-the-job training; (6) The worker must be able to benefit from the program (functional and psychological limitations that are likely to improve with the program). Approval of these programs should require a screening process that includes file review, interview and testing to determine likelihood of success in the program. (7) The worker must be no more than 2 years past date of injury. Workers that have not returned to work by two years post injury may not benefit. (8) Program timelines: Work Hardening Programs should be completed in 4 weeks consecutively or less. (9) Treatment is not supported for longer than 1-2 weeks without evidence of patient compliance and demonstrated significant gains as documented by subjective and objective gains and measurable improvement in functional abilities. (10) Upon completion of a rehabilitation program (e.g. work hardening, work conditioning, outpatient medical rehabilitation) neither re-enrollment in nor repetition of the same or similar rehabilitation program is medically warranted for the same condition or injury. Per the guidelines above, this type of program is not recommended for more than 1-2 weeks without evidence of significant gains. The patient must also have reached a plateau or maximum medical improvement with other treatment options, The patient does not meet this criterion and therefore the request is not medically necessary.