

Case Number:	CM15-0161832		
Date Assigned:	08/27/2015	Date of Injury:	09/08/2007
Decision Date:	09/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/31/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Chiropractor, Oriental Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 62 year old man sustained an industrial injury on 9-8-2007 after falling and landing on his shoulder. Evaluations include left shoulder MRI dated 10-19-2007. Diagnoses include left shoulder contusion and strain. Treatment has included oral medications and surgical intervention. Physician notes dated 7-23-2015 show complaints of left shoulder pain and difficulty sleeping due to pain. Recommendations include acupuncture, home exercises, Tylenol #4, and follow up in two weeks.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture 3x4 for the left shoulder: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: It is unclear whether the claimant has had prior acupuncture. If this is a request for an initial trial, twelve visits exceeds recommended guidelines. Evidenced based guidelines recommend a trial of acupuncture for chronic pain, but a request for 12 visits

exceeds the recommended guidelines of six or less. If functional improvement is documented, further acupuncture may be medically necessary. If this is a request for an initial trial, the provider should make a request within the recommended guidelines. If this is not a request for an initial trial, the provider should document functional improvement as a result of the completion of acupuncture. Also total amount completed visits should be submitted. Twelve visits of acupuncture are not medically necessary.