

Case Number:	CM15-0160786		
Date Assigned:	08/27/2015	Date of Injury:	12/31/1999
Decision Date:	09/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/13/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 12-31-1999. He has reported pain in his back with radiation down the posterior aspect of both legs and has been diagnosed with low back sprain strain, bilateral knee strain, and osteoarthritis of both knees. Treatment has included medications and medical imaging. He was noted to be in moderate pain and he was guarded in his transfer and ambulation. He had functional range of motion and strength of the upper and lower extremities. He has bilaterally equal intact sensation to light touch. He had limited range of motion of the back in all directions with tenderness spinous process. He had crepitus in the right and left knee with movement which were non tender to palpation. The treatment plan included medications. The treatment request included Zolpidem Tartrate 5 mg # 30.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Zolpidem Tartrate 5 MG #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) ambien.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS and the ACOEM do not specifically address the requested medication. Per the ODG: Zolpidem is a prescription short-acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic approved for the short-term treatment of insomnia. Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers and anti-anxiety medications are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. The medication is not intended for use greater than 6 weeks. There is no notation or rationale given for longer use in the provided progress reports. There is no documentation of other preferred long-term insomnia intervention choices being tried and failed. For these reasons the request is not medically necessary.