

Case Number:	CM15-0160628		
Date Assigned:	08/27/2015	Date of Injury:	10/05/2010
Decision Date:	10/06/2015	UR Denial Date:	08/12/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	08/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania, Ohio, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 48 year old female sustained an industrial injury on 10-05-10. She subsequently reported right ankle pain. Diagnoses include late effect of fracture of lower extremity. Treatments to date include MRI testing, surgery, physical therapy and prescription pain medications. The injured worker continues to experience right ankle pain and stiffness. Upon examination, there is tenderness and mild swelling in the right ankle. A request for Norco 10/325mg #120 was made by the treating physician.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment 2009, Section(s): Opioids, criteria for use.

Decision rationale: MTUS discusses in detail the 4As of opioid management, emphasizing the importance of dose titration vs. functional improvement and documentation of objective,

verifiable functional benefit to support an indication for ongoing opioid use. The records in this case do not meet these 4As of opioid management and do not provide a rationale or diagnosis overall for which ongoing opioid use is supported. Therefore this request is not medically necessary.