

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0147834		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	08/10/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	12/16/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	09/08/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	07/21/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	07/29/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 61 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 12-16-09. The injured worker has complaints of back and neck pain. The documentation noted tenderness to palpation. The diagnoses have included sprain of neck. Treatment to date has included injections; neck brace; back brace; acupuncture; norco; neurontin; tramadol and electromyography/nerve conduction velocity study on 12-4-12 was negative. The request was for outpatient random urine drug screen. Several documents within the submitted medical records are difficult to decipher.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Outpatient random urine drug screen:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, Criteria for use.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, steps to avoid misuse/addiction Page(s): 77-78; 94.

**Decision rationale:** According to MTUS guidelines, urine toxicology screens are indicated to avoid misuse/addiction. (j) Consider the use of a urine drug screen to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs. There is no evidence that the patient have aberrant behavior for urine drug screen. There is no clear evidence of abuse, addiction and poor pain control. There is no documentation that the patient has a history of use of illicit drugs. Therefore, the request for Urine drug screen is not medically necessary.