

Case Number:	CM15-0146646		
Date Assigned:	08/12/2015	Date of Injury:	10/13/1999
Decision Date:	09/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/28/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 60 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 10-13-99. The injured worker has complaints of low back and buttocks pain that radiates down the left lower extremity associated with left lower extremity numbness down the entire leg to the foot. The documentation noted that the injured worker is able to raise from a seated position without difficulty and gait is not antalgic and the injured worker ambulates without assistance. The diagnoses have included back pain and post laminectomy lumbar status post lumbar laser decompression and left L5 radicular pain and associated numbness. Treatment to date has included hydrocodone; ambien; flexeril; nabumetone; lidoderm patches and swimming. The request was for ambien CR 125mg #15 one refill.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ambien CR 125 mg #15 one refill: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Zolpidem, insomnia treatment.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS silent regarding this topic, ODG states that zolpidem is a prescription short acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for short-term treatment of insomnia. There has been no discussion of the patient's sleep hygiene or the need for variance from the guidelines, such as a) Wake at the same time everyday; (b) Maintain a consistent bedtime; (c) Exercise regularly (not within 2 to 4 hours of bedtime); (d) Perform relaxing activities before bedtime; (e) Keep your bedroom quiet and cool; (f) Do not watch the clock; (g) Avoid caffeine and nicotine for at least six hours before bed; (h) Only drink in moderation; & (i) Avoid napping. Medical documents also do not include results of these first line treatments, if they were used in treatment of the patient's insomnia. ODG additionally states The specific component of insomnia should be addressed: (a) Sleep onset; (b) Sleep maintenance; (c) Sleep quality; & (d) Next-day functioning. Medical documents provided do not detail these components. As such, the request for Ambien CR 125 mg #15 one refill is not medically necessary at this time.