

Case Number:	CM15-0145552		
Date Assigned:	08/07/2015	Date of Injury:	01/30/2013
Decision Date:	09/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 61 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on January 30, 2013. She reported a fall onto her buttocks. The injured worker was diagnosed as having grade I spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis at L4-5 with right leg radiculopathy and instability. Treatment to date has included diagnostic studies, cane and psychological evaluation. On June 30, 2015, the injured worker complained of severe back pain, right leg pain and weakness. Physical examination revealed lumbar paraspinous muscle spasm and tenderness to palpation along those muscles. She was noted to ambulate with a cane wither her lumbar spine forward flexed. The treatment plan included a new MRI, posterior lumbar interbody fusion at L5-S1, LSO back brace, bone growth stimulator unit, front wheel walker, three in one commode and a post-operative home health nurse. On July 15, 2015, Utilization Review non-certified the request for bone growth stimulator purchase, front wheel walker purchase and three in one commode purchase, citing Official Disability Guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion at L5-S1: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 305-307.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 307.

Decision rationale: The ACOEM Guidelines Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints page 307 state that lumbar fusion, except for cases of trauma-related spinal fracture or dislocation, fusion of the spine is not usually considered during the first three months of symptoms. Patients with increased spinal instability (not work-related) after surgical decompression at the level of degenerative spondylolisthesis may be candidates for fusion. According to the ODG, Low back, Fusion (spinal) should be considered for 6 months of symptom. Indications for fusion include neural arch defect, segmental instability with movement of more than 4.5 mm, revision surgery where functional gains are anticipated, infection, tumor, deformity and after a third disc herniation. In addition, ODG states, there is a lack of support for fusion for mechanical low back pain for subjects with failure to participate effectively in active rehab pre-op, total disability over 6 months, active psych diagnosis, and narcotic dependence. In this particular patient there is lack of medical necessity for lumbar fusion as there is no evidence of segmental instability greater than 4.5 mm, or formal MRI report of the lumbar spine to warrant fusion. Therefore the request is not medically necessary for lumbar fusion.

Associated service: Assistant Surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated service: 3 days inpatient stay: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated service: Front Wheel Walker (purchase): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated service: 3 in 1 Commode (purchase): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated service: Bone Growth Stimulator (purchase): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Postoperative Home Health Nurse x 14 days: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.