

Case Number:	CM15-0145285		
Date Assigned:	08/06/2015	Date of Injury:	04/26/2015
Decision Date:	09/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Chiropractor, Oriental Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This injured worker is a 35 year old female who reported an industrial injury on 4-26-2015. Her diagnoses, and or impression, were noted to include: right knee sprain-strain and pain; lumbar and thoracic spine sprain-strain and pain; myalgia; and stress with insomnia. No current imaging studies were noted. Her treatments were noted to include consultations and diagnostic studies. The first report of occupational injury notes of 6-5-2015 reported no subjective complaints. Objective findings were noted to include positive orthopedic findings. The physician's requests for treatments were noted to include acupuncture therapy for the right knee.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Acupuncture therapy 2x / week for 6 weeks (right knee): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: It is unclear whether the claimant has had prior acupuncture. If this is a request for an initial trial, twelve visits exceeds recommended guidelines. Evidenced based guidelines recommend a trial of acupuncture for chronic pain, but a request for 12

visits exceeds the recommended guidelines of six or less. If functional improvement is documented, further acupuncture may be medically necessary. If this is a request for an initial trial, the provider should make a request within the recommended guidelines. If this is not a request for an initial trial, the provider should document functional improvement as a result of the completion of acupuncture. Also total amount completed visits should be submitted. Twelve visits of acupuncture are not medically necessary.