

Case Number:	CM15-0144310		
Date Assigned:	08/05/2015	Date of Injury:	03/30/2007
Decision Date:	09/01/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on March 30, 2007, incurring, leg, and heel and feet injuries from cumulative trauma secondary to her work. She was diagnosed with right plantar fasciitis. Treatment included neuropathic medications, sleep aides, proton pump inhibitor, muscle relaxants, steroid heel injections, sympathetic nerve root injections, and activity modifications. Currently, the injured worker complained of persistent pain in the right lower extremity radiating into the heel, foot and toes with numbness and tingling. She noted continued pain at rest in the right forefoot ascending up into the upper leg and knee. She had swelling and discoloration and sensitivity to touch of the right foot. The treatment plan that was requested for authorization included a prescription for Flexeril and a sympathetic nerve block for the right lower extremity.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Flexeril 10mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain) Page(s): 63, 64.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines muscle relaxants Page(s): 63-65.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on muscle relaxants states: Recommend non-sedating muscle relaxants with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic LBP. (Chou, 2007) (Mens, 2005) (Van Tulder, 1998) (van Tulder, 2003) (van Tulder, 2006) (Schnitzer, 2004) (See, 2008) Muscle relaxants may be effective in reducing pain and muscle tension, and increasing mobility. However, in most LBP cases, they show no benefit beyond NSAIDs in pain and overall improvement. Also there is no additional benefit shown in combination with NSAIDs. Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence. (Homik, 2004) (Chou, 2004) This medication is not intended for long-term use per the California MTUS. The medication has not been prescribed for the flare-up of chronic low back pain. This is not an approved use for the medication. For these reasons, criteria for the use of this medication have not been met. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

Sympathetic nerve block for right lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Regional sympathetic nerve blocks (stellate ganglion block, thoracic sympathetic block, & lumbar sympathetic block).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines regional sympathetic blocks Page(s): 105-106.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS section on sympathetic nerve blocks states: Lumbar Sympathetic Blocks: There is limited evidence to support this procedure, with most studies reported being case studies. Anatomy: Consists of several ganglia between the L1 and L5 vertebra. Proposed Indications: Circulatory insufficiency of the leg: (Arteriosclerotic disease; Claudication: Rest pain; Ischemic ulcers; Diabetic gangrene; Pain following arterial embolus). Pain: Herpes Zoster; Post-herpetic neuralgia; Frostbite; CRPS; Phantom pain. These blocks can be used diagnostically and therapeutically. Adjunct therapy: sympathetic therapy should be accompanied by aggressive physical therapy to optimize success. Complications: Back pain; Hematuria; Somatic block; Segmental nerve injury; Hypotension (secondary to vasodilation); Bleeding; Paralysis: Renal puncture/trauma. Genitofemoral neuralgia can occur with symptoms of burning dysesthesia in the anteromedial upper thigh. It is advised to not block at L4 to avoid this complication. Adequacy of the block: This should be determined, generally by measure of skin temperature (with an increase noted on the side of the block). Complete sympathetic blockade can be measured with the addition of tests of abolition of sweating and of the sympathogalvanic response. (Day, 2008) (Sayson, 2004) (Nader, 2005) The provided medical records do not show the patient meets these criteria as outlined above as the patient does not have CRPS and therefore the request is not medically necessary.