

Case Number:	CM15-0143427		
Date Assigned:	08/04/2015	Date of Injury:	01/29/2015
Decision Date:	09/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/23/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Texas, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This is a 50 year old male patient, who sustained an industrial injury on 1-29-15. The diagnoses have included rib fractures. He sustained the injury due to rib fractured after a ladder broke a tree branch that fell on his rib area. Per the doctor's note dated 6/9/2015, he had complaints of pain in left upper quadrant. The physical examination revealed several trigger areas in anterior pectoral muscles and has paralumbar muscle guarding. The medications list includes terocin patches. He has had chest X-rays; CT thorax dated 2/20/2015 which revealed left healing rib fractures. He has had physical therapy visits for this injury.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Terocin patches #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics, pages 111-113.

Decision rationale: Terocin patches #30. Terocin patch contains Menthol and Lidocaine. According to the MTUS Chronic Pain Guidelines, regarding topical analgesics state that the use of topical analgesics is "Largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety, primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anti-convulsants have failed." There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. Lidocaine Indication: Neuropathic pain Recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tri-cyclic or SNRI antidepressants or an AED such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Non-neuropathic pain: Not recommended. MTUS guidelines recommend topical analgesics for neuropathic pain only when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed to relieve symptoms. Failure of antidepressants and anti-convulsants is not specified in the records provided. Any intolerance or contraindication to oral medications was not specified in the records provided. In addition, as cited above, any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended. There is no evidence to support the use of menthol in combination with other topical agents. The medical necessity of Terocin patches #30 is not fully established for this patient.