

Case Number:	CM15-0141776		
Date Assigned:	07/31/2015	Date of Injury:	06/13/2012
Decision Date:	08/31/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/01/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/21/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 63 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on June 13, 2012. Treatment to date has included right shoulder rotator cuff repair, right shoulder total reverse arthroplasty, medications, and activity restrictions. Currently, the injured worker complains of neck pain and right arm pain. He reports intense headaches on the right side of his head and notes that his condition is worsening. He rates his pain a 10 on a 10-point scale and describes his symptoms as severe in nature, constant, sharp, numbness, weakness, aching and soreness. On physical examination the injured worker has tenderness to palpation over the cervical paravertebral musculature with muscle spasm. He exhibits decreased range of motion of the cervical spine and reports pain in all planes. He has grade 4-5 muscle weakness in the right upper extremity. The diagnoses associated with the request include thoracic musculoligamentous sprain-strain, status post right shoulder open rotator cuff repair, bilateral wrist-forearm sprain-strain and cervical musculoligamentous sprain-strain. He has tenderness to palpation over the acromioclavicular joint and the supraspinatus tendon with decreased range of motion of the right shoulder. He has patchy hypoesthesia in the right upper extremity. The treatment plan includes Norco, Neurontin and Colace.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen, Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 44, 47, 75-79, 120 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen), California Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that this is an opiate pain medication. Due to high abuse potential, close follow-up is recommended with documentation of analgesic effect, objective functional improvement, side effects, and discussion regarding any aberrant use. Guidelines go on to recommend discontinuing opioids if there is no documentation of improved function and pain. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the medication is improving the patient's function or pain (in terms of specific examples of functional improvement and percent reduction in pain or reduced NRS) and no discussion regarding aberrant use. As such, there is no clear indication for ongoing use of the medication. Opioids should not be abruptly discontinued, but unfortunately, there is no provision to modify the current request to allow tapering. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen) is not medically necessary.

Colace 100mg, #100: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 44, 47, 75-79, 120 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Colace, California Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines support prophylactic treatment of constipation for patients undergoing opioid therapy. It is noted that the opioid has been determined to be not medically necessary. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Colace is not medically necessary.

Neurontin 400mg, #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Antiepilepsy Drugs (AEDs).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 16-21 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding request for gabapentin (Neurontin), Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that anti-epilepsy drugs are recommended for neuropathic pain. They go on to state that a good outcome is defined as 50% reduction in pain and a moderate response is defined as 30% reduction in pain. Guidelines go on to state that after initiation of treatment,

there should be documentation of pain relief and improvement in function as well as documentation of side effects incurred with use. The continued use of AEDs depends on improved outcomes versus tolerability of adverse effects. Within the documentation available for review, there is no identification of any specific analgesic benefit (in terms of percent reduction in pain or reduction of NRS) or objective functional improvement. Additionally, there is no discussion regarding side effects from this medication. Antiepileptic drugs should not be abruptly discontinued but unfortunately there is no provision to modify the current request. As such, the currently requested gabapentin (Neurontin) is not medically necessary.