

Case Number:	CM15-0141739		
Date Assigned:	07/31/2015	Date of Injury:	01/14/2014
Decision Date:	09/03/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/21/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California, Texas, Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology, Pain Management, Hospice & Palliative Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 31 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 1-14-2014. The mechanism of injury was a slip and fall. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar disc disease and lumbar facet syndrome. There is no record of a recent diagnostic study. Treatment to date has included physical therapy, acupuncture, injections, shock-wave therapy and medication management. In the most recent progress note dated 6-18-2015, the injured worker complains of lumbar pain, bilateral knee and right shoulder pain rated 4-8 out of 10. Physical examination showed diffuse lumbar paraspinal muscle tenderness with spasm and moderate facet tenderness at the lumbar 4-sacral 1 level with decreased range of motion. The treating physician is requesting bilateral sacroiliac joint injection.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Bilateral Sacroiliac Joint Injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 300. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Hip and Pelvis Chapter, Sacroiliac Blocks.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for sacroiliac joint injections, guidelines recommend sacroiliac blocks as an option if the patient has failed at least 4 to 6 weeks of aggressive conservative therapy. The criteria include: history and physical examination should suggest a diagnosis with at least three positive exam findings and diagnostic evaluation must first address any other possible pain generators. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication of failure of conservative treatment directed towards the sacroiliac joint for at least 4-6 weeks. Additionally, it is unclear whether all other possible pain generators have been addressed. In the absence of clarity regarding these issues, the currently requested sacroiliac joint injections are not medically necessary.