MAXIMUS Federal Services

Case Number:	CM15-0139880		
Date Assigned:	07/29/2015	Date of Injury:	10/25/2013
Decision Date:	09/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: California
Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 36-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on October 25, 2013. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar strain-sprain, lumbago, intervertebral disc disorder and thoracic or lumbosacral neuritis or radiculitis. Treatment to date has included aqua therapy and medication. A progress note dated June 10, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of back pain. Physical exam notes lumbar tenderness to palpation with decreased range of motion (ROM). The plan includes continued aqua therapy and durable medical equipment (DME) lumbar exercise kit.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Aqua therapy one times six: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy, pages 98-99.

Decision rationale: Aquatic Therapy does not seem appropriate, as the patient has received land-based Physical therapy. There is no records indicating intolerance of treatment, incapable of making same gains with land-based program nor is there any medical diagnosis or indication to require Aqua therapy at this time. The patient is not status-post recent lumbar or knee surgery nor is there diagnosis of morbid obesity requiring gentle aquatic rehabilitation with passive modalities and should have the knowledge to continue with functional improvement with a Home exercise program. The patient has completed formal sessions of PT and there is nothing submitted to indicate functional improvement from treatment already rendered. There is no report of new acute injuries that would require a change in the functional restoration program. There is no report of acute flare-up and the patient has been instructed on a home exercise program for this injury. Per Guidelines, physical therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified physical therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of progress with the PT treatment already rendered including milestones of increased ROM, strength, and functional capacity. Review of submitted physician reports show no evidence of functional benefit, unchanged chronic symptom complaints, clinical findings, and functional status. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for visits of physical therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support for the pool therapy. The Aqua therapy one times six is not medically necessary and appropriate.

Lumbar DME: lumbar one exercise rehab kit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Durable medical equipment (DME), pages 297-298, and 309.

Decision rationale: Although the ACOEM guidelines do recommend daily exercises, submitted reports have not demonstrated any evidence to support the medical necessity for a home exercise kit versus simple inexpensive resistive therabands to perform isometrics and eccentric exercises. Exercise equipment is considered not primarily medical in nature and could withstand repeated use as rental or used by successive patients, which is not indicated here. The patient continues to participate in active physical therapy and should have received instructions for an independent home exercise program without the need for specialized equipment for this chronic 2013 injury. The Lumbar DME: lumbar one exercise rehab kit is not medically necessary and appropriate.