

Case Number:	CM15-0138594		
Date Assigned:	07/28/2015	Date of Injury:	04/26/2014
Decision Date:	09/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 25 year old man sustained an industrial injury on 4/26/2014. The mechanism of injury is not detailed. Diagnoses include lumbar disc displacement. Treatment has included oral medications. Physician notes on a PR-2 dated 6/18/2015 show complaints of constant low back pain rated 8/10 with radiation to the bilateral lower extremities. Recommendations include refilling medications, electromyogram/nerve conduction studies of the bilateral lower extremities, and follow up in five to six weeks.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

EMG left lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Online Version - Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS Guidelines, EMG may be useful to identify subtle, focal neurologic dysfunction in patients with low back symptoms lasting more than three or four weeks. The requesting physician does not provide explanation of why EMG would be necessary for this injured worker. The examination did not provide evidence of neuropathy to support the subjective complaint of radiculopathy. The request for EMG left lower extremity is not medically necessary.

NCV right lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Online Version - Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back Chapter/Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS) Section.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines do not specifically address nerve conduction studies of the lower extremities. Per the ODG, nerve conduction studies are not recommended because there is minimal justification of performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. The requesting physician does not provide explanation of why NCV would be necessary for this injured worker, who has subjective complaints of radiculopathy. The request for NCV right lower extremity is not medically necessary.

NCV left lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Online Version - Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back Chapter/Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS) Section.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Guidelines do not specifically address nerve conduction studies of the lower extremities. Per the ODG, nerve conduction studies are not recommended because there is minimal justification of performing nerve conduction studies when a patient is presumed to have symptoms on the basis of radiculopathy. The requesting physician does not provide explanation of why NCV would be necessary for this injured worker, who has subjective complaints of radiculopathy. The request for NCV left lower extremity is not medically necessary.

EMG right lower extremity: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Online Version - Nerve Conduction Studies (NCS).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303.

Decision rationale: Per the MTUS Guidelines, EMG may be useful to identify subtle, focal neurologic dysfunction in patients with low back symptoms lasting more than three or four weeks. The requesting physician does not provide explanation of why EMG would be necessary for this injured worker. The examination did not provide evidence of neuropathy to support the subjective complaint of radiculopathy. The request for EMG right lower extremity is not medically necessary.