

Case Number:	CM15-0138201		
Date Assigned:	07/28/2015	Date of Injury:	10/20/2003
Decision Date:	08/27/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/30/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/16/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/20/03. The injured worker has complaints of bilateral wrist pain. The documentation noted that there is diffuse tenderness. The diagnoses have included bilateral wrist osteoarthritis. Treatment to date has included left wrist X-ray; right wrist X-ray and medications. The request was for 12 physical therapy visits. Several documents within the submitted medical records are difficult to decipher.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

12 Physical therapy visits: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical medicine.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy, Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Forearm, Wrist, & Hand (Acute & Chronic), Physical/Occupational therapy.

Decision rationale: California MTUS guidelines refer to physical medicine guidelines for physical therapy. "Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine." ODG states "Recommended. Positive (limited evidence). See also specific physical therapy modalities by name. Also used after surgery and amputation. Early physical therapy, without immobilization, may be sufficient for some types of undisplaced fractures. It is unclear whether operative intervention, even for specific fracture types, will produce consistently better long-term outcomes. There was some evidence that 'immediate' physical therapy, without routine immobilization, compared with that delayed until after three weeks immobilization resulted in less pain and both faster and potentially better recovery in patients with undisplaced two-part fractures. Similarly, there was evidence that mobilization at one week instead of three weeks alleviated pain in the short term without compromising long-term outcome. (Handoll-Cochrane, 2003) (Handoll-Cochrane, 2003) During immobilization, there was weak evidence of improved hand function in the short term, but not in the longer term, for early occupational therapy, and of a lack of differences in outcome between supervised and unsupervised exercises. Post-immobilization, there was weak evidence of a lack of clinically significant differences in outcome in patients receiving formal rehabilitation therapy, passive mobilization or whirlpool immersion compared with no intervention. There was weak evidence of a short-term benefit of continuous passive motion (post external fixation), intermittent pneumatic compression and ultrasound. There was weak evidence of better short-term hand function in patients given physical therapy than in those given instructions for home exercises by a surgeon. (Handoll-Cochrane, 2002) (Handoll-Cochrane, 2006) Hand function significantly improved in patients with rheumatoid arthritis after completion of a course of occupational therapy. ($p < 0.05$). (Rapoliene, 2006) Active Treatment versus Passive Modalities: See the Low Back Chapter for more information. The use of active treatment modalities instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. The most commonly used active treatment modality is Therapeutic exercises (97110), but other active therapies may be recommended as well, including Neuromuscular reeducation (97112), Manual therapy (97140), and Therapeutic activities/exercises (97530). ODG Physical / Occupational Therapy Guidelines; Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits or more per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home PT. More visits may be necessary when grip strength is a problem, even if range of motion is improved. Also, see other general guidelines that apply to all conditions under Physical Therapy in the ODG Preface. Sprains and strains of wrist and hand (ICD9 842): 9 visits over 8 weeks." The treating physician has not outlined goals for therapy. There are not objective findings or subjective complaints that would provide rationale for physical therapy at this time. Additionally, guidelines recommend a 6 visit clinical trial, to evaluate for functional improvement from therapy prior to authorizing additional sessions. The requested 12 sessions is in excess of guideline recommendations. As such, the request for 12 Physical therapy visits is not medically necessary.