

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0137567		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	07/27/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	12/07/2005
<b>Decision Date:</b>	08/24/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	06/18/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	07/15/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 46 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 12/07/2005. Mechanism of injury was not found in documents provided. Diagnoses include degeneration of lumbar intervertebral disc, lumbago, lumbar post laminectomy syndrome, chronic pain syndrome, lumbosacral radiculopathy, lumbar facet joint pain, myalgia-myositis, and fibromyositis. Treatment to date has included diagnostic studies, medications, ice, heat, activity restrictions, and use of a cane. A physician progress note dated 06/09/2015 documents the injured worker complains of low back pain radiating to his legs and he has right arm pain. The injured worker has had pain post fusion of L5-S1 with instrumentation. Without medications, she rates her pain as 10 out of 10, and with medications her pain is 10 out of 10. She has been losing weight and she has lost 60 pounds without trying. Pain has increased since the last visit. She is unable to walk often during the day due to pain, and now she is experiencing left foot drop and leg dysfunction and ambulatory problems as her left leg is giving out from underneath her while ambulating. She uses a cane. Her medication regime, activity restrictions and rest does not keep pain within manageable level or allow her to complete necessary activities of daily living. She has left leg weakness and low back, left hip and left leg pain. She has tenderness and tightness over the posterior neck with restricted range of motion at least 30% in all planes. Her lumbar spine has severe tenderness over the paraspinal musculatures, greatest on the left. She has a positive bilateral straight leg raise, and restricted range of motion. There is dysesthesia and hypoesthesia down the entire left leg. The treatment plan includes continuation of use of heat and ice, rest and gentle stretching and exercises that can be tolerated, continuation of

medications, and a follow up visit. Treatment requested is for Acupuncture x 6, Chiropractic x 6, and Oxycodone 20mg #150.

### **IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES**

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Oxycodone 20mg #150:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Short acting opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids  
Page(s): 76-84.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on opioids states for ongoing management: On-Going Management. Actions Should Include: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs. (Passik, 2000) (d) Home: To aid in pain and functioning assessment, the patient should be requested to keep a pain diary that includes entries such as pain triggers, and incidence of end-of-dose pain. It should be emphasized that using this diary will help in tailoring the opioid dose. This should not be a requirement for pain management. (e) Use of drug screening or inpatient treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. (f) Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor-shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion). (g) Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control. (h) Consideration of a consultation with a multidisciplinary pain clinic if doses of opioids are required beyond what is usually required for the condition or pain does not improve on opioids in 3 months. Consider a psych consult if there is evidence of depression, anxiety or irritability. Consider an addiction medicine consult if there is evidence of substance misuse. When to Continue Opioids: (a) If the patient has returned to work, (b) If the patient has improved functioning and pain. (Washington, 2002) (Colorado, 2002) (Ontario, 2000) (VA/DoD, 2003) (Maddox-AAPM/APS, 1997) (Wisconsin, 2004) (Warfield, 2004) The long-term use of this medication class is not recommended per the California MTUS unless there documented

evidence of benefit with measurable outcome measures and improvement in function. There is no documented significant improvement in VAS scores for significant periods of time. There are no objective measurements of improvement in function. Therefore all criteria for the ongoing use of opioids have not been met and the request is not medically necessary.

#### **Acupuncture x 6: Overturned**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on acupuncture states: Acupuncture is used as an option when pain medication is reduced or not tolerated, it may be used as an adjunct to physical rehabilitation and/or surgical intervention to hasten functional recovery. It is the insertion and removal of filiform needles to stimulate acupoints (acupuncture points). Needles may be inserted, manipulated, and retained for a period of time. Acupuncture can be used to reduce pain, reduce inflammation, increase blood flow, increase range of motion, decrease the side effect of medication-induced nausea, promote relaxation in an anxious patient, and reduce muscle spasm. Time to produce functional improvement is 3-6 treatments and frequency is 1-3 times per week. The requested amount of session meets criteria and thus is medically necessary.

#### **Chiropractic x 6: Overturned**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Manual therapy and manipulation.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines manual manipulation Page(s): 58-59.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical guidelines section on manual manipulation states: Recommended for chronic pain if caused by musculoskeletal conditions. Manual Therapy is widely used in the treatment of musculoskeletal pain. The intended goal or effect of Manual Medicine is the achievement of positive symptomatic or objective measurable gains in functional improvement that facilitate progression in the patient's therapeutic exercise program and return to productive activities. Manipulation is manual therapy that moves a joint beyond the physiologic range-of-motion but not beyond the anatomic range-of-motion. Low back: Recommended as an option. Therapeutic care - Trial of 6 visits over 2 weeks, with evidence of objective functional improvement, total of up to 18 visits over 6-8 weeks. Elective/ maintenance care - Not medically necessary. Recurrences/flare-ups - Need to reevaluate treatment success, if RTW achieved then 1-2 visits every 4-6 months. Ankle & Foot: Not recommended. Carpal tunnel syndrome: Not recommended. Forearm, Wrist, & Hand: Not recommended. Knee: Not recommended. Treatment Parameters from state guidelines a. Time to produce effect: 4 to 6 treatments. Manual manipulation is recommended form of treatment for chronic pain. However the requested amount of therapy sessions is in excess of the recommendations per the California MTUS. The California MTUS states there should be not more than 6 visits over 2 weeks and documented evidence of functional improvement before continuation of therapy. The request meets criteria and thus is medically necessary.