

Case Number:	CM15-0136828		
Date Assigned:	07/24/2015	Date of Injury:	03/29/2010
Decision Date:	08/27/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/14/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York

Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurological Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 56 year old man sustained an industrial injury on 3/29/2010. The mechanism of injury is not detailed. Evaluations include undated lumbar spine MRIs, lumbar spine x-rays, and electromyogram/nerve conduction studies of the lumbar spine. Diagnoses include multilevel cervical and lumbar spondylosis, severe lumbar spinal stenosis, lumbar instability with grade 1 anterolisthesis, and multilevel cervical stenosis. Treatment has included oral medications, physical therapy, core strengthening and trunk stabilization exercises, and epidural steroid injections. Physician notes dated 5/14/2015 show complaints of continued low back and bilateral lower extremity pain. Recommendations include surgical intervention including a one to two day inpatient stay, assistant surgeon, pre-operative clearance, laboratory testing, chest x-ray, and electrocardiogram, ad post-operative iceless cold therapy unit with DVT and lumbar wrap.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

L2-L5 laminectomy, foraminotomy and diskectomy with decompression of the neural elements: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 306.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints
Page(s): 305-307.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS guidelines recommend surgery when the patient has had severe persistent, debilitating lower extremity complaints referable to a specific nerve root or spinal cord level corroborated by clear imaging, clinical examination and electrophysiological studies. Documentation does not provide this evidence. The guidelines note the patient would have failed a trial of conservative therapy. The guidelines note the surgical repair proposed for the lesion must have evidence of efficacy both in the short and long term. The requested treatment: L2-L5 laminectomy, foraminotomy and discectomy with decompression of the neural elements is not medically necessary and appropriate.

One to two day inpatient hospital stay: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Assistant surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative clearance: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative labs: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative chest x-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative EKG: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated Surgical Service: TEC (Iceless cold therapy unit with DVT and lumbar wrap):
Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.