

Case Number:	CM15-0136480		
Date Assigned:	07/24/2015	Date of Injury:	06/19/2008
Decision Date:	08/21/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/11/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/14/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old female who sustained an industrial injury when a chair moved away causing her to fall on her buttocks on 06/19/2008. The injured worker was diagnosed with failed lumbar back surgery, lumbar disc disease, lumbar facet joint pain, lumbar neuralgia, sacroiliac (SI) joint pain and depression. The injured worker has a medical history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension and osteoarthritis. The injured worker is status post L4-5 decompression and fusion in August 2010, lumbar exploratory surgery for inoperable disc fragment in October 2011 and status post failed trial spinal cord stimulator (SCS) implant (no date documented). Treatment to date has included diagnostic testing, lumbar fusion surgery, spinal cord stimulator (SCS) trial, acupuncture therapy, lumbar epidural steroid injection, assistive devices, physical therapy, aquatic therapy and medications. According to the primary treating physician's progress report on May 28, 2015, the injured worker continues to experience chronic low back pain with radiation into the bilateral lower extremities. The injured worker rates her pain level at 6/10. Examination of the lumbar spine demonstrated tenderness to palpation of the paravertebral muscles with spasm and severe tenderness to the lumbar spine and bilateral sacroiliac joints. Range of motion was restricted due to pain. Straight leg raise, Kemp's and Minor's signs were positive. There was paresthesia noted along the bilateral L5 and S1 dermatomes, right side greater than left side. Deep tendon reflexes were documented as 2/4 at the right patellar tendon and 1/4 at the left patellar and bilateral Achilles tendons. Pathological reflexes were absent. Motor strength was 5/5 globally in the bilateral lower extremities with

pulses intact. The injured worker is walker dependent. Current medications are listed as Methadone 10mg, Norco 10/325mg and Soma. Treatment plan consists of continuing medication regimen, additional aquatic therapy and the current request for Methadone 10mg, Norco 10/325mg and Soma.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Methadone 10mg #120 1 q 6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines methadone Page(s): 61-62.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on methadone states: Methadone recommended as a second-line drug for moderate to severe pain if the potential benefit outweighs the risk. The FDA reports that they have received reports of severe morbidity and mortality with this medication. This appears, in part, secondary to the long half-life of the drug (8-59 hours). Pain relief on the other hand only lasts from 4-8 hours. Methadone should only be prescribed by providers experienced in using it. (Clinical Pharmacology, 2008) Steps for prescribing methadone: (1) Basic rules; Weigh the risks and benefits before prescribing methadone. Avoid prescribing 40 mg Methadone tablets for chronic non-malignant pain. This product is only FDA-approved for detoxification and maintenance of narcotic addiction. Closely monitor patients who receive methadone, especially during treatment initiation and dose adjustments. (2) Know the information that is vital to give the patient: Don't be tempted to take more methadone than prescribed if you are not getting pain relief. This can lead to a dangerous build-up that can cause death. All changes in methadone dose should be made by your treating practitioner. Methadone can make your breath slow down, or actually stop. Methadone can slow down your heartbeat and you might not be able to detect this. If you feel like you are having an irregular heartbeat, dizziness, light-headedness or fainting, call your doctor or clinic immediately. (FDA, 2006) (3) Be familiar with the current SAMHSA health advisory on methadone. The medication has become more accessible to unauthorized users. It can accumulate in potentially harmful doses. (especially during the first few days of treatment). There has been a rise in Methadone-associated mortality. (SAMHSA, 2004) (4) Be familiar with the FDA final policy statement on Methadone that explicitly discusses the topic, "Can Methadone be used for pain control?" No separate registration is required to prescribe methadone for treatment of pain. (DEA, 2006) (5) Read the new prescribing information for Methadone and the new patient information section. (Roxane, 2006) (6) Multiple potential drug-drug interactions can occur with the use of Methadone. A complete list of medications should be obtained prior to prescribing methadone to avoid adverse events, and the patient should be warned to inform any other treating physician that they are taking this medication prior to starting and/or discontinuing medications. This medication is indicated as a second-line agent in the treatment of chronic pain. The long-term use of opioid therapy is only indicated when measurable outcomes in pain control and function have been achieved. The included clinical documentation for review does not show failure of all first line pain agents. The provided documentation fails to show these measurable outcome improvements, Therefore the request has not met criteria as per the California MTUS guidelines and is not medically necessary.

Norco 10/325mg #120 1 q 6: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids Page(s): 76-84.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on opioids states for ongoing management: On-Going Management. Actions Should Include: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side-effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework for documentation of the clinical use of these controlled drugs. (Passik, 2000) (d) Home: To aid in pain and functioning assessment, the patient should be requested to keep a pain diary that includes entries such as pain triggers, and incidence of end-of-dose pain. It should be emphasized that using this diary will help in tailoring the opioid dose. This should not be a requirement for pain management. (e) Use of drug screening or inpatient treatment with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. (f) Documentation of misuse of medications (doctor- shopping, uncontrolled drug escalation, drug diversion). (g) Continuing review of overall situation with regard to non-opioid means of pain control. (h) Consideration of a consultation with a multidisciplinary pain clinic if doses of opioids are required beyond what is usually required for the condition or pain does not improve on opioids in 3 months. Consider a psych consult if there is evidence of depression, anxiety or irritability. Consider an addiction medicine consult if there is evidence of substance misuse. When to Continue Opioids; (a) If the patient has returned to work. (b) If the patient has improved functioning and pain. (Washington, 2002) (Colorado, 2002) (Ontario, 2000) (VA/DoD, 2003) (Maddox-AAPM/APS, 1997) (Wisconsin, 2004) (Warfield, 2004). The long-term use of this medication class is not recommended per the California MTUS unless there documented evidence of benefit with measurable outcome measures and improvement in function. There is no documentation of significant subjective improvement in pain such as VAS scores. There is also no objective measure of improvement in function. For these reasons the criteria set forth above of ongoing and continued used of opioids have not been met. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Soma 350mg #60 1 q 12: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines muscle relaxants Page(s): 63-65.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on muscle relaxants states: Recommend non-sedating muscle relaxants with caution as a second-line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic LBP. (Chou, 2007) (Mens, 2005) (Van Tulder, 1998) (van Tulder, 2003) (van Tulder, 2006) (Schnitzer, 2004) (See, 2008) Muscle relaxants may be effective in reducing pain and muscle tension, and increasing mobility. However, in most LBP cases, they show no benefit beyond NSAIDs in pain and overall improvement. Also there is no additional benefit shown in combination with NSAIDs. Efficacy appears to diminish over time, and prolonged use of some medications in this class may lead to dependence. (Homik, 2004) (Chou, 2004) This medication is not intended for long-term use per the California MTUS. The medication has not been prescribed for the flare-up of chronic low back pain. This is not an approved use for the medication. For these reasons, criteria for the use of this medication have not been met. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.