

Case Number:	CM15-0136419		
Date Assigned:	07/24/2015	Date of Injury:	08/11/2013
Decision Date:	10/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/02/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/14/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New York
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 42-year-old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 08-11-2013. The injured worker reported lumbar spine injury while performing her usual and customary duties. On provider visit dated 06-26-2015 the injured worker has reported low back pain with radiation into the bilateral lower extremities. On examination of the lumbosacral spine revealed tenderness to palpation over the posterior paraspinal musculature across the lumbosacral region as well as over the left gluteal and sacroiliac areas. Range of motion was noted as decreased. The diagnoses have included lumbar disc displacement. Treatment to date has included medication, TENS unit, epidural injections, home exercise program, physical therapy and acupuncture. The injured worker was noted to use a cane for ambulation. The provider requested Butrans patch, Tizanidine, Naprosyn, Omeprazole DR, Tramadol ER, Clorazepate and Senakot S.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Butrans 10mcg/hr change patch every 7 days Topical #4: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids: Butrans.

Decision rationale: Butrans (Buprenorphine) transdermal patches are used to treat moderate to severe chronic pain. The treatment of chronic pain with any opioid analgesic requires review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. A pain assessment should include current pain, intensity of pain after taking the opiate, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is no documentation of the medication's pain relief effectiveness, functional status, or response to ongoing opioid analgesic therapy. In addition, the patient is maintained on opiate therapy with Tramadol ER. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic should include a taper to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Tizanidine 2mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 63, 66.

Decision rationale: Zanaflex (Tizanidine) is a centrally acting alpha2-adrenergic agonist that is FDA approved for management of spasticity; unlabeled use for low back pain. It is indicated for the treatment of chronic myofascial pain and considered an adjunct treatment for fibromyalgia. According to CA MTUS Guidelines, muscle relaxants have not been considered any more effective than non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for pain or overall improvement. There is no additional benefit shown in combination with NSAIDs. In addition, sedation is the most commonly reported adverse effect of muscle relaxant medications. In this case, the patient has no reported lumbar spasm on physical exam. Also, the guideline criteria do not support the long-term use of muscle relaxants. Medical necessity for the requested medication has not been established. Zanaflex is not medically necessary.

Naprosyn 550mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 67-71. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) NSAIDs.

Decision rationale: Naproxen is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Oral NSAIDs are recommended for the treatment of chronic pain and control of inflammation as a second-line therapy after acetaminophen. ODG states that NSAIDs are recommended for acute pain, osteoarthritis, acute low back pain (LBP) and acute exacerbations of chronic pain, short-term pain relief in chronic LBP, and short-term improvement of function in chronic LBP. There is no evidence of long-term effectiveness for pain or function. There is inconsistent evidence for the use of NSAIDs to treat long-term neuropathic pain. Guidelines recommended that the lowest effective dose be used for the shortest duration of time consistent with treatment goals.

In this case, the patient had prior use of on NSAIDs without any documentation of significant improvement. There was no documentation of subjective or objective benefit from use of this medication. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. The request for Naproxen is not medically necessary.

Omeprazole DR 20mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines PPIs Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: According to CA MTUS (2009), proton pump inhibitors, such as Omeprazole (Prilosec), are recommended for patients taking NSAIDs with documented GI distress symptoms or specific GI risk factors. Risk factors include, age >65, history of peptic ulcer disease, GI bleeding, concurrent use of aspirin, corticosteroids, and/or anticoagulants or high-dose/multiple NSAIDs. There is no documentation indicating the patient has any GI symptoms or GI risk factors. In this case, Naproxen was not found to be medically necessary. Medical necessity for Omeprazole has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Tramadol ER 300mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids for the treatment of chronic pain Page(s): 91-97.

Decision rationale: According to the California MTUS, Tramadol (Ultram) is a synthetic opioid which affects the central nervous system and is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe pain. Per CA MTUS Guidelines, certain criteria need to be followed, including an ongoing review and documentation of pain relief and functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain: last reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid, and the duration of pain relief. According to the medical records, there has been no documentation of the medication's analgesic effectiveness or functional improvement, and no clear documentation that the patient has responded to ongoing opioid therapy. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic requires a taper to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Clorazepate (Tranxene) 7.5mg #50: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

Decision rationale: According to CA MTUS Guidelines, benzodiazepines are prescribed for anxiety. They are not recommended for long-term use for the treatment of chronic pain because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependency. Tranxene (Clorazepate) is a benzodiazepine, having anxiolytic, sedative, and hypnotic properties. Most guidelines recommend the use of Valium for the treatment of anxiety disorders, and as an adjunct treatment for anxiety associated with major depression. Use of this medication is limited to four weeks. There is no documentation provided indicating that the patient is maintained on any antidepressant medication. In addition, there are no guideline criteria that supports the long-term use of benzodiazepines. Medical necessity for the requested medication has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Senakot S 8.6/50mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids.

Decision rationale: Opioid-induced constipation is a common adverse effect of long-term opioid use because of the binding of opioids to peripheral opioid receptors in the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in absorption of electrolytes and reduction in small intestine fluid. According to ODG, if opioids are determined to be appropriate for the treatment of pain then prophylactic treatment of constipation should be initiated. Senokot is a stimulant laxative and is used to relieve occasional constipation. According to ODG, if opioids are determined to be appropriate for the treatment of pain then prophylactic treatment of constipation should be initiated. In this case, with non-approval of opioid use, the medical necessity of Senokot is not established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.