

Case Number:	CM15-0135015		
Date Assigned:	07/23/2015	Date of Injury:	08/16/2001
Decision Date:	08/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/01/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon

Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a (n) 64 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 8/16/01. She reported injury to her left knee after a slip and fall accident. The injured worker was diagnosed as having left knee internal derangement and status post arthroscopy x 2. Treatment to date has included Tylenol #3, cortisone injections in 2002 with no improvement, physical therapy with no improvement and a left knee MRI on 8/14/14 showing tricompartmental osteoarthritis with extensive full thickness articular cartilage loss and a longitudinal horizontal oblique tearing of the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus. As of the PR2 dated 6/29/15, the injured worker reports continued left knee pain. She rates her pain an 8/10 without medications and 4/10 with medications. Objective findings include an antalgic gait with a single point cane, restricted range of motion and a negative McMurray's test. The treating physician requested a left knee unicompartmental arthroplasty, a chest x-ray, an EKG, labs, an outpatient facility, a cold therapy unit, a 3-1 commode, a post-op rental of a continuous passive motion unit for 2 weeks, post-op physical therapy 3 x 4, post-op medications, a left knee x-ray with 4 views and a hip to ankle scanogram of the left leg.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Left knee medial unicompartmental arthroplasty: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Treatment Index, 13th Edition (web), 2015, Knee & Leg, knee joint replacement.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of unicompartmental knee replacement. According to the ODG Knee and Leg section, unicompartmental knee replacement is an option if one compartment is involved. Guideline criteria for knee arthroplasty include conservative care consisting of supervised therapy or home exercise program and medications, plus documentation of limited range of motion. In addition, complaints of night joint pain, no pain relief with conservative care and documentation of current functional limitations when the patient is over 50 years of age with a body mass index of less than 35. In this case, there is prior UR approval for a medial compartment unloader brace. There is no submitted documentation of its effect. Conservative care has not been exhausted until documentation of the unloader brace fails. Based on this the request is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Chest X-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: EKG: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Labs CBC: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Labs CMP: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Labs PT: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Labs PTT: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Labs UA: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Facility (outpatient): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: Cold Therapy Unit: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical services: 3-1 commode: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Post-op DME rental Continuous Passive Motion 2 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Post-op Physical Therapy 3 x 4: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Post-op medication: Oxycontin #40: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Neurontin 300mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Specific Antiepilepsy drugs Page(s): 18.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines anti-epilepsy Page(s): 18.

Decision rationale: Per the CA MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines page 18, Specific Anti-Epilepsy Drugs, Neurontin is indicated for diabetic painful neuropathy and post-herpetic neuralgia and is considered first line treatment for neuropathic pain. In this case, the exam note from 6/29/15 does not demonstrate evidence neuropathic pain or demonstrate percentage of relief, the duration of relief, increase in function or increased activity. Therefore medical necessity has not been established.