

Case Number:	CM15-0134777		
Date Assigned:	07/23/2015	Date of Injury:	08/03/2013
Decision Date:	08/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/22/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 24 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 8/3/13. The documentation noted the injured worker underwent a left below the knee amputation on 8/21/13. The documentation noted that the injured worker reports pain on his left below the knee amputation. The documentation noted that the injured worker has severe right ankle pain and low back pain. The documentation noted on examination of his knee there is tenderness over both medial and lateral joint line, flexion 90, extension 0 and small effusion and no instability. The diagnoses have included post-traumatic stress disorder; phantom limb (syndrome) lower extremity and muscle weakness. Treatment to date has included debridement and debulking of his flap and medications. The request was for left knee arthroscopy; pre-operative chest X-ray; pre-operative labs complete blood count, hemoglobin A1C, comprehensive metabolic panel, urinalysis, prothrombin time and partial thromboplastin time (PTT).

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Left Knee Arthroscopy: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 343. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Knee Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: CAMTUS/ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, pages 344-345, states regarding meniscus tears, Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy usually has a high success rate for cases in which there is clear evidence of a meniscus tear symptoms other than simply pain (locking, popping, giving way, recurrent effusion). According to ODG Knee and Leg section, Meniscectomy section, states indications for arthroscopy and meniscectomy include attempt at physical therapy and subjective clinical findings, which correlate with objective examination and MRI. In this case, symptoms are mild and there are no primarily mechanical symptoms in the exam notes reviewed. Based on the lack of mechanical symptoms, the request is not medically necessary.

Preoperative Labs: CBC: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative Labs: HGA1C: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative Labs: CMP: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative Labs: Urinalysis: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative Labs: PT/PTT: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preoperative Chest X-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.