

Case Number:	CM15-0134109		
Date Assigned:	07/22/2015	Date of Injury:	04/11/2013
Decision Date:	08/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	07/01/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/10/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Texas, Florida, California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 72 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 4/11/13. Progress report dated 6/18/15 reports complaints of constant right knee pain and increases with activity, rated 5-8/10. Topical cream helps with the pain around the joint. She must avoid NSAIDS due to GI bleed. Diagnoses include: right knee degenerative meniscus tear, status post A/S time 2 and antalgic gait. Plan of care includes: urine drug test, KDGL cream apply three times per day as needed. Work status: temporarily total disability for 6 weeks. Follow up in 3-4 weeks.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

KDGL Cream 240grams with one refill (Ketamine/Diclofenac/Gabapentin/Lidocaine Cream): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111, 112.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 111 of 127.

Decision rationale: This claimant was injured over two years ago. As of June 2015, there is constant right knee pain. Topical cream reportedly helps with the pain around the joint, but there is no mention of objective functional improvement, or why oral medicines are not sufficient other than the NSAIDs which she cannot tolerate. Per the Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, Page 111 of 127, the MTUS notes topical analgesic compounds are largely experimental in use with few randomized controlled trials to determine efficacy or safety. Experimental treatments should not be used for claimant medical care. MTUS notes they are primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed, but in this case, it is not clear what primary medicines had been tried and failed. Also, there is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended, is not certifiable. This compounded medicine contains several medicines untested in the peer review literature for effectiveness of use topically. Moreover, the MTUS notes that the use of these compounded agents requires knowledge of the specific analgesic effect of each agent and how it will be useful for the specific therapeutic goal required. The provider did not describe each of the agents, and how they would be useful in this claimant's case for specific goals. The request is appropriately non-certified. Therefore, the requested treatment is not medically necessary.