

Case Number:	CM15-0132801		
Date Assigned:	07/20/2015	Date of Injury:	08/25/1988
Decision Date:	08/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/22/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on September 25, 1988. The injured worker was diagnosed as having chronic pain syndrome, traumatic brain injury, opioid dependence, bilateral upper extremity radiculopathy, degenerative intervertebral disc, post laminectomy syndrome, cervicalgia, myalgia and myositis. Treatment to date has included cervical fusion and medication. A progress note dated June 11, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of chronic neck pain radiating to the upper extremities. He reports his condition is worsening. Physical exam notes the injured worker appears disgruntled and reports it is due to being denied medical authorization. Cervical and shoulder range of motion (ROM) is decreased. There is right below the knee amputation (BKA) and is using a wheel chair. The plan includes Oxycontin, Norco, Soma and home exercise program (HEP).

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Oxycontin 80mg, #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Ongoing Management Page(s): 78.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 75-81.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Oxycodone as well as other short acting opioids are indicated for intermittent or breakthrough pain (page 75). It can be used in acute post operative pain. It is not recommended for chronic pain of long-term use as prescribed in this case. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: "(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework". There is no clear justification to continue using Oxycontin. There is no documentation of pain or functional improvement from previous use of Oxycontin. There is no documentation of breakthrough pain. There is no documentation of continuous compliance of the patient with his medications. There is documentation of the safety of the used opioids. Therefore, the prescription of Oxycontin 80 mg #60 is not medically necessary at this time.

Norco 10/325mg, #240: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Ongoing Management Page(s): 78.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: "(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non-adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework". According to the patient's file, there is no objective documentation of pain and functional improvement to justify continuous use of Norco. Norco was used for longtime without documentation of functional improvement or evidence of return to work or

improvement of activity of daily living. Therefore, the prescription of Norco 10/325mg #240 is not medically necessary.

Soma 350mg, #120 with 3 refills: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Soma/Carisoprodol Page(s): 29.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Soma Page(s): 29.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, non-sedating muscle relaxants are recommended with caution as a second line option for short-term treatment of acute exacerbation in patients with chronic lumbosacral pain. Efficacy appears to diminish over time and prolonged use may cause dependence. According to the provided file, the patient was prescribed Soma for more than 3 weeks without clear evidence of spasm or exacerbation of pain. There is no justification for prolonged use of Soma. Therefore, the request for Soma 350mg, #120 with 3 refills is not medically necessary.