

Case Number:	CM15-0132467		
Date Assigned:	07/20/2015	Date of Injury:	11/18/2013
Decision Date:	08/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/29/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/08/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 45-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on November 18, 2013. The initial symptoms reported by the injured worker are unknown. The injured worker was diagnosed as having primary medial joint arthrosis along with chronic anterior cruciate ligament tear, left knee medial compartment osteoarthritis bone on bone and left knee meniscus tear. Treatment to date has included diagnostic studies, cortisone injection, viscosupplementation, knee brace, pain medication and anti-inflammatories. On April 27, 2015, physical examination of the left knee revealed medial joint tenderness and effusion. The injured worker complained of pain with twisting, bending and squatting. Notes stated that X-ray showed medial joint bone-on-bone arthrosis. The treatment plan included total knee arthroplasty of the left knee. The indication for surgery for bone-on-bone arthrosis was failure to respond to attempted conservative treatment. On June 29, 2015, Utilization Review non-certified the request for total left knee arthroplasty inpatient stay three day within MPN, surgical assistant, pre-op MRI left knee, pre-op medical clearance - H&P, EKG, labs as indicated, post op knee brace, post op walker, post op cold therapy unit seven day rental, home health nurse two times four, home health physical therapy two times four, outpatient therapy three times four start post thirty days hospital discharge, Bactroban ointment 2 percent 20 g, Keflex 500 mg #8, Norco 5.325 mg #60, Zofran ODT 4 mg #20 and long left leg X-ray citing California MTUS ACOEM, Official Disability Guidelines and other additional guidelines.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Total left knee arthroplasty: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 343 - 344. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Knee Complaints Section.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of total knee replacement. According to the Official Disability Guidelines regarding Knee arthroplasty: Criteria for knee joint replacement which includes conservative care with subjective findings including limited range of motion less than 90 degrees. In addition the patient should have a BMI of less than 35 and be older than 50 years of age. There must also be findings on standing radiographs of significant loss of chondral clear space. In this case the patient age is less than 50 and BMI is greater than 35. Based on this the request is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: surgical assistant: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op MRI of the left knee: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op medical clearance: H&P: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Post-op knee brace: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Post-op walker: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Post-op cold therapy unit, seven day rental: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Home health nurse 2 x a week x4 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Home health physical therapy 2 x a week x 4 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Outpatient physical therapy 3x a week x 4 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Bactroban ointment, 2% 22 grams: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Stulberg DL, Penrod MA, Blatny RA. Common bacterial skin infections. Am Fam Physician. 2002 Jul 1; 66 (1):119-24.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM and ODG are silent on the issue of Bactroban and alternative guideline was utilized. According to the American Family Physician Journal, 2002 July 1; 66 (1): 119/125, titled "Common Bacterial Skin Infections", antibiotic ointments can be considered for open wounds. It was found from a review of the medical record submitted of no evidence of a wound infection to warrant antibiotic prophylaxis. The request for Bactroban ointment is therefore not medically necessary and appropriate.

Keflex 500 mg, eight count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Stulberg DL, Penrod MA, Blatny RA. Common bacterial skin infections. Am Fam Physician. 2002 Jul 1;66(1):119-24.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM and ODG are silent on the issue of Keflex and an alternative guideline was utilized. According to the American Family Physician Journal, 2002 July 1; 66 (1): 119/125, titled "Common Bacterial Skin Infections", Keflex is often the drug of choice for skin wounds and skin infections. It was found from a review of the medical record submitted of no evidence of a wound infection to warrant antibiotic prophylaxis. The request for Keflex is therefore not medically necessary and appropriate.

Norco 5/325 mg, sixty count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 80.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS/Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, page 80, opioids should be continued if the patient has returned to work and the patient has improved functioning and pain. Based upon the records reviewed there is insufficient evidence to support chronic use of narcotics. There is lack of demonstrated functional improvement, percentage of relief, demonstration of urine toxicology compliance or increase in activity from the exam note of 4/27/15. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

Zofran ODT 4 mg, twenty count: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) pain.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of Zofran for postoperative use. According to the ODG, Pain Chapter, Ondansetron (Zofran) is not recommended for nausea and vomiting secondary to chronic opioid use. In this case the submitted records demonstrate no evidence of nausea and vomiting or increased risk for postoperative issues. Therefore determination is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Long left left x-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.