

Case Number:	CM15-0130572		
Date Assigned:	07/17/2015	Date of Injury:	02/16/2002
Decision Date:	08/12/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/25/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/07/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 2/16/2002. He reported falling and twisting to the right, injuring his back, neck and shoulders. Diagnoses have included lumbar radiculitis, bilateral shoulder pain and status post right shoulder arthroscopy. Treatment to date has included medication. According to the progress report dated 6/18/2015, the injured worker complained of right shoulder pain rated eight out of ten. He complained of low back pain rated six out of ten. He also complained of difficulty sleeping. Medications allowed the injured worker to participate in family activities. He complained of pain in the left buttock that radiated to the left lower extremity to the ankle. He also complained of weakness in the right upper extremity. Authorization was requested for Alprazolam, Ambien CR and Seroquel.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Alprazolam 0.5 mg with 2 refills, take 1, 4 times daily, anxiety: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Benzodiazepines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines benzodiazepines Page(s): 24.

Decision rationale: The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on benzodiazepines states: Benzodiazepines: Not recommended for long-term use because long-term efficacy is unproven and there is a risk of dependence. Most guidelines limit use to 4 weeks. Their range of action includes sedative/hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, and muscle relaxant. Chronic benzodiazepines are the treatment of choice in very few conditions. Tolerance to hypnotic effects develops rapidly. Tolerance to anxiolytic effects occurs within months and long-term use may actually increase anxiety. A more appropriate treatment for anxiety disorder is an antidepressant. Tolerance to anticonvulsant and muscle relaxant effects occurs within weeks. (Baillargeon, 2003) (Ashton, 2005). The chronic long-term use of this class of medication is recommended in very few conditions per the California MTUS. There is no evidence however of failure of first line agent for the treatment of anxiety in the provided documentation. For this reason, the request is not medically necessary.

Seroquel 150 mg with 2 refills, 1 every night, sleep: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines: Mental Illness & Stress - Atypical Antipsychotics.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) insomnia.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS and the ACOEM do not specifically address this medication. Per the official disability guidelines recommend pharmacological agents for insomnia only is used after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. Primary insomnia is usually addressed pharmacologically. Secondary insomnia may be treated with pharmacological and/or psychological measures. Pharmacological treatment consists of four main categories: Benzodiazepines, Non-benzodiazepines, Melatonin and melatonin receptor agonists and over the counter medications. Sedating antidepressants have also been used to treat insomnia however, there is less evidence to support their use for insomnia, but they may be an option for inpatients with coexisting depression. The patient does not have the diagnosis of primary insomnia or depression. There is also no documentation of first line insomnia treatment options such as sleep hygiene measures. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.

Ambien CR (controlled release) 12.5 mg with 2 refills, 1 every night, sleep: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines: Pain - Insomnia.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) ambien.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS and the ACOEM do not specifically address the requested medication. PER the ODG: Zolpidem is a prescription short-acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic approved for the short-term treatment of insomnia. Proper sleep hygiene is critical to the individual with chronic pain. While sleeping pills, so-called minor tranquilizers and anti-anxiety medications are commonly prescribed in chronic pain, pain specialists rarely, if ever, recommend them for long-term use. There is also concern that they may increase pain and depression over the long-term. The medication is not intended for use greater than 6 weeks. There is no notation or rationale given for longer use in the provided progress reports. There is no documentation of other preferred long-term insomnia intervention choices being tried and failed. For these reasons, the request is not medically necessary.