

Case Number:	CM15-0130130		
Date Assigned:	07/16/2015	Date of Injury:	11/04/2010
Decision Date:	08/12/2015	UR Denial Date:	06/16/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	07/06/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on November 4, 2010. He has reported pain in the neck a 7 out of 10. There was also pain in the lower back and right shoulder. Left foot pain was rated a 7 out of 10. Diagnoses included cervical sprain strain, lumbar sprain strain, right rotator cuff tear, right shoulder adhesive tendinitis, right shoulder impingement syndrome, right shoulder myoligamentous injury, and left foot pain. Objective findings note normal cervical range of motion. There was normal lumbar range of motion. There was decreased range of motion to the right shoulder. There was tenderness to palpation of the anterior shoulder, lateral shoulder, and posterior shoulder. There was muscle spasm of the anterior shoulder, lateral shoulder, and posterior shoulder. Left foot range of motion was within normal limits. The treatment request included topical medication.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Gabapentin 15%, Amitriptyline 4%, Dextromethorphan 10%, 180gm: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Compound creams.

Decision rationale: MTUS and ODG recommends usage of topical analgesics as an option, but also further details "primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed." The medical documents do not indicate failure of antidepressants or anticonvulsants. MTUS states, "There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." MTUS states that topical Gabapentin is "Not recommended." And further clarifies, "anti-epilepsy drugs: There is no evidence for use of any other anti-epilepsy drug as a topical product." As such, the request for Gabapentin 15%, Amitriptyline 4%, Dextromethorphan 10%, 180gm is not medically necessary.

Cyclobenzaprine 2%, Flurbiprofen 25%, 180gm: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Compound creams.

Decision rationale: MTUS and ODG recommends usage of topical analgesics as an option, but also further details "primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed." The medical documents do not indicate failure of antidepressants or anticonvulsants. MTUS states, "There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." MTUS states regarding topical muscle relaxants, "Other muscle relaxants: There is no evidence for use of any other muscle relaxant as a topical product." Topical Cyclobenzaprine is not indicated for this usage, per MTUS. As such, the request for Cyclobenzaprine 2%, Flurbiprofen 25%, 180 gm is not medically necessary.