

Case Number:	CM15-0019409		
Date Assigned:	02/09/2015	Date of Injury:	11/03/2012
Decision Date:	04/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	02/03/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania, Ohio, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 41 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on November 3, 2012. The diagnoses have included status post left ankle surgery and compensatory low back pain. Treatment to date has included left ankle surgery July 2013, oral pain medication. Currently, the injured worker complains of left ankle pain, low back pain with right lower extremity symptoms. In a progress note dated December 26, 2014, the treating provider reports tenderness left ankle range of motion limited and favors right lower extremity; lumbar spine limited range of motion with pain. On January 16, 2015 Utilization Review non-certified a hydrocodone bitartrate and acetaminophen 10/325mg tablets, noting, Medical Treatment Utilization Schedule Guidelines, American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine and Official Disability Guidelines was cited.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Hydrocodone 10/325mg qty:60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 78-97.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids/Ongoing Management and Opioids for Chronic Pain Page(s): 78, 80.

Decision rationale: MTUS discusses in detail the 4As of opioid management, emphasizing the importance of dose titration vs. functional improvement and documentation of objective, verifiable functional benefit to support an indication for ongoing opioid use. MTUS also discourages the use of chronic opioids for back pain due to probable lack of efficacy. The records in this case do not meet these 4As of opioid management and do not provide a rationale or diagnosis overall for which ongoing opioid use is supported. Therefore, this request is not medically necessary.