

|                       |              |                              |            |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Case Number:</b>   | CM15-0016466 |                              |            |
| <b>Date Assigned:</b> | 02/04/2015   | <b>Date of Injury:</b>       | 05/31/1996 |
| <b>Decision Date:</b> | 04/07/2015   | <b>UR Denial Date:</b>       | 12/24/2014 |
| <b>Priority:</b>      | Standard     | <b>Application Received:</b> | 01/28/2015 |

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania, Ohio, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 52 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on May 31, 1996. She has reported a repetitive motion injury. The exact mechanism of injury is unknown. The diagnoses have included cervical spondylosis, cervical myelopathy, cervical stenosis and cervical radiculopathy. Treatment to date has included medications. The medical record was lacking evidence of any physical therapy sessions to date. Currently, the injured worker complains of neck pain with radiation down her left arm. She continues to have tingling and subjective weakness in the bilateral upper extremities. On December 26, 2014, Utilization Review non-certified physical therapy 3x4, noting the CA MTUS Guidelines. On January 28, 2015, the injured worker submitted an application for Independent Medical Review for review of physical therapy 3x4.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Physical Therapy 3x4:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 76-80 and 98-99.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS encourages physical therapy with an emphasis on active forms of treatment and patient education. This guideline recommends transition from supervised therapy to active independent home rehabilitation. Given the timeline of this injury and past treatment, the patient would be anticipated to have previously transitioned to such an independent home rehabilitation program. The records do not provide a rationale at this time for additional supervised rather than independent rehabilitation. This request is not medically necessary.