

Case Number:	CM15-0011085		
Date Assigned:	02/25/2015	Date of Injury:	10/30/2014
Decision Date:	08/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	01/12/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	01/21/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California, Indiana, Oregon
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 66 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 10/30/2014. Mechanism of injury occurred when he twisted his upper body on his right leg carrying 30 pounds. He felt immediate pain in his right knee. Diagnoses include right medial knee pain and effusion, right knee medial meniscus tears. Treatment to date has included diagnostic studies, and medications. Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the right knee done on 11/24/2014 revealed medial meniscus tears. X-rays of the right knee done on 11/04/2014 revealed mild patellofemoral compartment narrowing. A physician progress note dated 01/02/2015 documents the injured worker complains of pain in his right knee. There is 2 plus tenderness to palpation of the medial joint line on the right. Range of motion is 0 to 130 degrees bilaterally. McMurray's is positive on the right. Treatment requested is for Associated service: Cold therapy unit with knee pad, Associated service: Crutches, Associated service: Post-operative physical therapy (two to three) times per week for four to six weeks, Associated service: Post-operative thigh high TED hose, Associated service: Pre-operative clearance, Partial medial meniscectomy, and Right knee arthroscopy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Right knee arthroscopy: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Knee and leg.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): s 344-345. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: CAMTUS/ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, pages 344-345, states regarding meniscus tears, "Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy usually has a high success rate for cases in which there is clear evidence of a meniscus tear/symptoms other than simply pain (locking, popping, giving way, recurrent effusion)." According to ODG Knee and Leg section, Meniscectomy section, states indications for arthroscopy and meniscectomy include attempt at physical therapy and subjective clinical findings, which correlate with objective examination and MRI. In this case the exam notes from 11/24/14 do not demonstrate meniscal symptoms such as locking, popping, or giving way. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

Partial medial meniscectomy: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: CAMTUS/ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, pages 344-345, states regarding meniscus tears, "Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy usually has a high success rate for cases in which there is clear evidence of a meniscus tear/symptoms other than simply pain (locking, popping, giving way, recurrent effusion)." According to ODG Knee and Leg section, Meniscectomy section, states indications for arthroscopy and meniscectomy include attempt at physical therapy and subjective clinical findings, which correlate with objective examination and MRI. In this case the exam notes from 11/24/14 do not demonstrate meniscal symptoms such as locking, popping, or giving way. Therefore the request is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Pre-operative clearance: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Post-operative physical therapy (two to three) times per week for four to six weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Post-operative thigh high TED hose: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Crutches: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Cold therapy unit with knee pad: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) knee.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.